ESOURCES

DEFENDANT

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

A

PLAINTIFF

Armstrong J. vs.	Wunderlich C.H.	105
Adamskum "	Pond J. W.	165
Ahrensberg H. Leo. "	Eguimiary H	184
Arokjunjian J. "	Eguimian H.	187
" " "	Arokjunjian M	188

B

Brasher F.J. vs.	Hill T. J.	2
Brown E. "	Johnson W.M.	9
Baillie W.M. "	Shaw W.H.	13
Boyd M.C. "	Collins A.J.	20
Brasher F.F. "	Tickle H.	21
Bitzer J.E. "	Groom W.	33
Brasher F.F. "	Jones H	36
Barrett Hicks Co "	Hill J.H.	39
" " " "	Benson E.	42
Brouge A. "	Parkinson E.W	54
Burnett J.H. "	Troy L. Mch. Co.	55
Bredin N. "	White M	60
Barrett Hicks Co. "	Madera Water Co	87
Brouge A. "	Carr H.A.	94
Bakersfield Hdw. Co. "	19 Oil Co.	100
Bopp. F. "	Schwengel F.	107
Burham J.A. "	Updyke C.	113
Barnes S.W. "	Irwin H.S.	117
Ball O.R. "	Chin Yow et	121
Bilinberg F. "	Fowler R.R	135
Bostick M.A. "	Erwin E.	136
Bradley W.H. "	Gagosian P.A.	159
Borchers H.H. "	Garner M.B.	163
Bopp P.A. "	Karle H. et	167
Betteridge W.M. "	Starkey B.	189

A

DEFENDANT

Arino F. — Imperatrice J. 7
Armes A. J. — King N. S. 57
Appling B. C. — K. G. Co. 64
Adams E. C. — Hockenberry J. 65
Adkins J. F. — Cole J. W. 68
Arkelian J. — Elmman & Hobson 102
Agadian H. — Tupper W. D. 109
Anderson F. E. — Forsyth Sudd R. Co. 166
Arokjinjian M. — Arokjinjian J. 188

B

Belli V. — Disperati E. 15
Buchanan E. C. — Shields A. M. 20
Blasingame M. J. — Flowers H. B. 30
Beaton H. — Eccleston C. H. 34
Buson E. — Barrett H. Co. 42
Brewster J. B. — Sloat L. E. 51
Brown S. A. — Eccleston C. H. 57
Barnes H. D. — Portons J. 79
Bucher J. D. — Mahoney J. F. 80
Bracker A. — S. J. River Cil Co 83
Baker S. — Europian Bros. 93
Boger & Bugg — Kern J. J. 110
Boger D. — " " 111
Brackett G. C. — Valley L. Co 127
Baker C. E. — Parker R. B. 128
Berman A. — Renny J. 137
Bixell A. H. — Fanning F. R. 144
Bank of California — Silva F. 154
Browning E. W. — Parker R. B. 158
Barnhart L. A. — Davidson J. D. 168
Bollinger J. — Cole J. S. 170

C

PLAINTIFF

Coello J. G. vs Sequeira A. G. 3
Coey B. B. " Dumas J. W. 23
Cole J. W. " Adkins J. F. 68
Cross Augsbury Co. " Smith G. W. 96
Christy H. V. " Wells B. C. 129
Cole J. S. " Bollinger J. 170
~~Colili~~ ~~175~~
Cross Augsbury L. Co. vs. Smith G. W. 176
Cole Mrs. J. F. vs. Flowers H. B. 185

D

Disperati E. vs. Belli V. 15
Droge A. " Hunelberg W. F. 16
Darling A. P. " Webber W. 18
Darling A. P. " Koyangian C. H. 88
Donald J. W. " Collins J. D. 108
Depp. J. H. " F. F. & Ir. Co. 123
Dermer A. " Leader A. Jr. 148
" " " Leader A. Sr. 149
" " " Frisch C. 150
Dorsey Robinson Co. " Dumas J. W. 151
Davidson J. D. et " Barnhart L. A. 168
Dupony B. " Menard R. 186
Downing C. " Jacobi M. 190

DEFENDANT

Collins P. J.	— Boyd M. C.	20
Carruthers L. W. A.	— Wilhimer J. N.	49
Carile J. W.	— Schweizer C. A.	53
Cowan J. M.	— Eccleston C. H.	69
Collins N. L.	— Parsons A. F.	75
Collins J. D.	— Fisher S.	86
Colquhoun J. C.	— Flowers H. B.	91
Caliagian J.	— Englebretson F.	91
Carr H. A.	— Brouge A.	94
City of Fresno	— Howard F. B.	98
Collins J. D.	— Donald J. W.	108
Chin Yow	— Ball S. R.	121
Childers W.	— Gruning E.	138
Connor J.	— Hagopian D. R.	160
Costa J. M.	— Toscano F.	181
Dumas J. W.	— Kerrigan K	19
" "	— Cory B. B.	23
Dematti A.	— Molana G.	24
Davis V.	— Graff H. & Co.	31
Decker M. L.	— Fanning F.	35
Dunn E. C.	— McCarthy T. B.	85
Day J. D.	— Fanning F. R.	116
Degarm C. H.	— " "	146
Dumas J. W.	— Dorsey Rob. Co	151
Decker M. L.	— Fanning F.	174

O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

E

PLAINTIFF

Eccleston C.H. vs. McLean P.H.	22
Eccleston C.H. " Beaton H.	34
" " " Meyers K.C.	44
" " " Flores A	56
" " " Brown L.A	57
" " " Cowan J.M.	69
Engelbretson F. " Caliagian J.	92
Eckmann & Hobson vs. Cokilian J	102
Eskildsen N. vs. Rasmussen J.P.	132

F

Fanning F.R. vs. Thomas T.C.	6.
" " " Sterling G.	8.
Foliar P. " Sperow A.	12
Flowers H.B. " Blasingame M.J.	30
Fanning F. " Decker M.L.	35
Fanning F.R. " Keeley J.F.	52
Fong Lee " Tim Ak	61
Fanning F.R. " Johnson J.F.	72
Fisher D. vs. Collins J.D.	86
Flower H.B. " Colquhoun	91
Fanning F.R. " Fugate J.H.	115
" " " Day J.D	116
" " " Vandenberg W.H.	120
Fong G. " Golden St. Ry. Co.	124
Fanning F.R. " Fris Geo.	140
" " " Weaver J.J.	142
" " " Bixell A.H.	144
" " " Degarm C.H.	146
" " " Neil J.H.	153
Fresno Land Co. " Schray E.H.	155
Forsyth Seed R. Co. " Anderson F.E.	166
Fanning F. " Decker M.L. et	174
Fanning F.R. " Walker L.E.	194

DEFENDANT

Esperati E. — Jacob E. 76
Emerzian Bros. — Jack J. A. 134
Evrbin E. — Bostick N. A 136
Eginiary H. — Abrensberg H. & Co 184
Eguinian H. — Arokjinjian J. 187

Follett L. L. vs. J. Portous 45
Foin F. A. " S. J. River Oil Co 81
Fugate J. H. " Fanning F. R 115
Fresno F. & Dr. Co. " Willoughby B. H. 122
" " " " Dipp T. H 123
Fowler R. R. — Bilenberg F 135
Fris Geo. — Fanning F. R. 140
Flowers H. B. — Gummett J. 145
Frisch C. — Dermer A. 150
Flowers H. B. — Cole J. F. 185
Fresno Placer M. Co. — Hertwick E. 195
Frisch C. — Mitchell J. J. 196

REGISTER
O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

G H

PLAINTIFF

Graham & Wallace vs.	Hill J. H.	27	
Graff H. & Co. "	Davis V.	31	
Genignanini C. "	Signorini C.	48	
Good R. E. L. "	Harbison C. K.	63	
Gray Mrs. L. E. "	Martin R.	74	
Glasgow S. F. "	Neill J. H.	114	
Glasgow S. F. "	Saito S.	125	
Grunig E. "	Childer W.	138	
Grummett J. "	Flowers D. & B.	145	
Graham A. E. "	Wait R. A.	169	
Herman S. & L. Society "	Reed W. D. et al	192	
Graff H. & Co. "	Slavich & Lopojich	197	

Hayden T. M. vs.	Knight E.	15	
Hunnaut A. "	White H.	28	
Hendrickson G. "	Nettleton G.	29	
Hollenbeck W. H. "	Miller W. H.	37	
Hammond T. J. "	Linderman T.	41	
Hockenberry J. "	Adams E. C.	65	
Hockenberry J. "	Perry E. R.	97	
Howard T. B. "	City of Fresno	98	
Hammond T. J. "	Raby Chapman & Co	99	
Hilton A. R. "	White C.	104	
Hartley S. "	Langley T. E.	126	
Hertweck E. "	Waite R. A. et al	141	
Hagopian D. R. "	Connor J.	160	
Hendy Mach. Wks. "	Nevils W. A.	164	
Hatch M. vs.	Pero H.	191	
Hertweck E. "	Fresno Placer M. Co.	195	

G

DEFENDANT

Greenberg M. — Wilson A. L. 11
Groom W. — Ritzer J. E. 33
Graham T. — Knoblock F. 43
Golden State Pkg. Co. — Fong G. 124
Gagosian S. A. et — Bradley W. H. 159
Garner M. B. — Borchers H. F. 163
Grang E. H. Jr. — McDougall B. G. 178

H

Hill T. J. — Brasher F. F. 2
Howell J. H. — Johnson W. M. 4
Hemelberg H. F. — Droge P. 16
Hill J. H. — Graham & Wallace 27
Hill J. H. — Barrett H. Co. 39
Herndon J. W. — Sokamoto K. 40
Harbison A. K. — Goode R. E. L. 63
Helen F. M. — Parrot A. 67
Houghton J. G. — Porter W. T. 78
Hasler J. H. — Valley L. Co. 143
Hall S. — Jeffries C. B. 147

G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

I

J

PLAINTIFF

Imperatrice J. vs. Avrio 7.

7 Johnson W. M. vs. Howell J. H. 4
Jacob E. vs Esperati E. 76
James J. G. Co. " H. C. Marshall 95
Jack J. A. " Emergian Bro. 134
Jeffris C. B. " Hall S. 147
Jacobson C. K. " Stitt M. S. 175

DEFENDANT

4	Irvine W. S. et	Barnes S. N.	117	Johnson W. M.	Brown E.	9
6				Jones H.	Brasher F. F.	36
5				Johnson J. F.	Fanning F. R	72
34				Jeffries C. B.	Sanger L. Lev.	106
47				Jones A. M	Murray J. V.	161
75				Jacobi M.	Downing C.	190

K

PLAINTIFF

Kearney Vyd. Syn.	vs.	Lamby C. K.	10
Kneelegan K.	"	Dumas J.	19
Knoblock F.	"	Graham T.	43
King W. S.	"	Ormes A. J.	59
K. G. Co.	"	Appling B. C.	64
Kern J. J.	"	Boger & Bugg.	110
" "	"	Boger D.	111
Kennedy J. W.	"	Southern Pac. Co.	183

L

Langley J. E.	vs.	Paul B. H.	71
Lindsey & Dooley	"	Turner H. A.	90
Lyons Boles Co.	"	McClaughan C. E.	179

DEFENDANT

Knight E.	— Hayden T. M.	5	
Kobayashi B.	— Parker R. B. & Co	14	
Kuley J. F.	— Fanning F. R.	52	
King John Doe	— Urcelia A.	62	
Kazanian C. H.	— Darling A. P.	88	
Kizunich U.	— Zeropian Bros.	89	
Karle H.	— Bopp A.	167	

Lamby C. K.	— Kearney Wm. Syn	10	
Lewis H.	— Nagle T. S.	17	
Lindeman F.	— Hammond F. J.	41	
Littler T. M.	— Newman H.	50	
Langley F. E.	— Hartley S.	126	
Leader P. Jr.	— Dermer P.	148	
Leader P. Sr.	— " "	149	

O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

M

PLAINTIFF

Malana G. vs. Demattii A	24	
Metzger M. " Morgan J. D	66	
Maxwell N. F. " Abebe J. B.	73	
Madary M. R. " Valley Planing Mill	77	
Mahony Mrs. J. F. " Bucher J. D.	80	
McCarthy T. B. " Dunn E. C.	85	
Murray J. V. " Jones A. M.	161	
McDougall B. G. " Gray E. H. Jr.	178	
Mink D. N. " Chamsin G.	182	
Mitchell J. J. " Frisch C.	196	

N

Nelson A. L. vs. Greenberg M.	11	
Nagler T. S. " Lewis H.	17	
Neil Leutbirth " Robinson H.	46	
Newman Wm " Littler J. M.	50	

DEFENDANT

McLean D. H. — Eccleston C. H. 22
Minekata S. — Shields A. M. 26
Miller W. H. — Hollenbeck W. H. 37
Myers K. C. — Eccleston C. H. 44
Morgan J. D. — Metzger M 66
Martin R. — Graytus, Le-E. 74
Madera Water Co. — Barrett Hicks Co 87
Marshall H. O. — James J. G. Co 95
Mann W. — Wade A. J. 103
Majkovich S. — Europian Bros. 101
Murray D. G. — Risley E. W. 130
Martin W. A. — Hertweck E. 141
Martin B. — Thorwolsen H. 162
McClaughan E. E. — Lyons Bolts Co. 179
Menard R. — Dupony B. 186

Nettleton G. — Hendrickson G. 29
Nineteen Oil Co. — Bakersfield Water Co 100.
Neill J. H. — Glasgow S. T. 114
" " — Fanning F. R. 153
Nevills W. A. — Hendy Mch. Works, 164

O P

PLAINTIFF

Parker R.B. & Co.	vs.	Kobayashi B.	14
Portous J.	"	Follett L.L.	45
Paret A.	"	Helen F.M.	67
Parsons A.F.	"	Collins H.L.	75
Porter W.T.	"	Houghtery J.G.	78
Portous J.	"	Barnes W.D.	79
Pierson J.H.	"	Tannahill J.S.	118
Parker R.B.	"	Baker C.E.	128
Panagiotarus G.	vs.	Stamatopulos J.	131
"	"	vs. " G.	133
Portous J.	"	Parsons M.M.	156
" "	"	A. Schwaberland	157
Parker R.B.	"	Browning E.W.	158
Parker R.B.	"	Ross P.O.	180

DEFENDANT

4	Ohannesin G. — Mink D.M.	182	Parkinson E.W. — Brouge A. 54
5	Ohio Oil Co. — Silverbrook P. Co.	193	Peters A.B. et. — Beamans J.E. 58
7			Pritzner J. — Schweizer Chas. P.
5			Paul B.H. — Langley T.E. 71
8			Perry E.R. — Hockenberry J. 97
9			Perry V.R. — Wing Z.E. 119
8			Pritzer A. — Welsh H.H. 139
28			Parsons M.M. — Reddin S.H. 152
31			" " — Portevus J. 156
33			Pond J.W. — Adams Chas 165
56			Porter Bros. Co. — Uridge W.G. 171
57			" " " " " 172
58			Pulaston W.H. — Stouffer C.Z. 177
80			Pero H. — Hatch M. 191

Q

R

PLAINTIFF

Renfro C. K.	vs.	Treece G. H.	32
Roeding G. C.	"	Roye B.	84
Robinson C. H.	"	Wood W. H.	112
Risley E. H.	"	Murray P. G.	130
Remy J.	"	Berman C.	137
Reddin J. H.	"	Parsons M. M.	152

Q R

DEFENDANT

2)	Zwong Ah	— Ball L. R.	121	Roy A.	— Schwizer C. a	38
4				Robinson W.	— Neil & Leitbirth	46
2)				Reed T. L.	— Weilheimer J.	47
90				Roebl J. B.	— Maxwell H. F.	73
97				Roye B.	— Roeding G. C.	84
52)				Raley Chapman Co.	— Hammond T. J.	99
				Roff H. H.	— Barnes S. W.	117
				Rasmussen J. P.	— Eskilsen N.	132
				Ross O. C.	— Parker R. B.	180
				Reed W. Dist	— Ger. Savgs & L. S.	192

Q R S T U V W X Y Z

S

PLAINTIFF

Shields A. M. vs. Buchana E. C. 25
" " " Minakata S. 26
Schweizer C. H. " Roy A. 38
Sakamoto K. " Herndon J. W. 40
Sloat L. E. " Brewster J. B. 51
Schweizer C. A. " Carlile J. W. 53
Seamans J. E. " Peters A. B. et 58
Schweizer C. H. " Pretzner J. 70
S. J. River Oil Co. " Fox F. A. 81
" " " Thorp W. W. 82
" " " Bracker, A. 83
Seropian Bros. " Kuzuich N. 89
Seropian Bros. " Baker S. 93
Seropian Bros. " Majkovich 101
Sanger Lumber Co. " Jeffries C. B. 106
Silva F. vs Bank of California 154
Stouffer P. F. " Pulston W. H. 177
Silver Creek P. Co. " Ohio Oil Co. 193

T

Tupper W. D. vs. Agadian H. 109
Thorwaldsen H. " Martin B. 162
Toscano F. " Cota J. M. 181

DEFENDANT

Shores G.	vs Wiley M.	1
Sequeira A. G.	— Cecello J. G.	3
Sterling G.	— Fanning F. R	8
Spenner A.	— Folmer P.	12
Shaver W. H.	— Baillee W. M	13
Signorini C.	— Gennignaini C.	48
Shorw A.	— Eccleston C. H.	56
Smith G. W.	— Cross Augsbury Co	96
Schwengell F.	— Bopp. F.	107
Saile S.	— Glasgow S. F.	125
Stamatapulos J.	— Panagiotarus G.	131
" G.	— " "	133
Schray E. W.	— Fresno Land Co.	155
Schwaberland A.	— Portevus J.	157
Saroukian B. S.	— Bradly W. H.	159
Stitt M. S.	— Jacobson C. K.	175
Smith G. W.	— Cross Augsbury L. Co.	176
Southern Pac. Co.	— Kennedy J. W.	183
Starkey D.	— Betteridge W. M.	189
Slavich & Lopozich	— Graff & Co.	197

Thomas F. O.	— Fanning F. R	6
Tickle H.	— Brash. F. F.	21
Truce G. W.	— Renfro C. K	32
Troy Laundry Mch. Co.	— Burnett J. H.	55
Tun Ak	— Fong Lee	61
Thorp. W. N.	— S. J. Rinr Air Co	82
Turner H. A.	— Lindsay & Dooly	90
Tamahilly J. S.	— Pinson J. H.	118

U V

PLAINTIFF

Urutia A. vs. King John Doe 62
Uridge W.G. " Porter Bros. 171
" " " " 172

Valley Lumber Co. vs. Brackett G.C. 127
" " " " Hasler J.H. 143

Up

U V

DEFENDANT

Updyke C. — Burham J. A. 113 Valley Planing Mill — Madary M. R. 77
Vanderburg W. H. — Fanning F. R. 120

27
43

U V W X Y Z

W X

PLAINTIFF

Wiley M. vs. Sloan G. 1
Wilhemier J. " Reid T. L. 47
" " " Carothers L. W. et. 49
Wade A. Jr. " Mann N. 103
Wing F. E. " Perry C. R. 119
Willoughby B. H. " F. F. & Dr. Co. 122
Welsh H. H. " Oretzer A. 139

DEFENDANT

Webber W.	— Darling A.P.	18
White H.	— Stewart A.	28
White M.	— Bredin N.	60
White C.	— Hilton A.R.	104
Wunderlich C.H.	— Armstrong J.	105
Wood W.H.	— Robinson C.H.	112
Wells B.C.	— Christy H.V.	129
Waite R.A.	— Hartweck E.	141
Weaver J.J.	— Fanning F.R.	142
Waite R.A.	— Graham A.E.	169
Walker L.E.	— Fanning F.R.	194

Y Z

PLAINTIFF

Y Z

DEFENDANT

Y
Z

PLAINTIFF

No. 2566.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mollie Wiley, Plaintiff

vs.

Grant Shores, Defendant

Action on an o/c.

Demand $ 217 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May 28		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
June 3		Order of dismissal filed.	
		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that the above entitled case be and the same is hereby dismissed	
		S. C. St. John Justice of the Peace	

No. 2567

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. F. Brasher, Plaintiff

vs.

T. J. Hill, Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 21.34 + Int

Attorney for Plaintiff

E. S. Van Meter

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ Due Sr J 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
June 17		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 17th 1902.	
" 19		Defendant enters a general denial orally—	

No. 2568.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joe G. Coelho, Plaintiff

vs.

A. G. Sequeira, Defendant

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $ 143.93

J. A. Graber, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. P. Thompson, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
June 3		Defendant files demurrer.	
" 18		Demurrer set for hearing for June 20/02 at 9 AM	
" 20		At the time set parties in court demurrer submitted and sustained, and plaintiff given two days amend.	
" 21		Plaintiff files amended complaint.	
" 25		Defendant by his attorney enters a general demurrer orally and also upon the ground that this court has no jurisdiction of the subject matter ~~nor~~ of the action.	
MAY 14 1903		On motion of J. A. Graber demurr set for 19 Mar at 10 A.M. Postponed to Sep. 2d 1903 at 10 A.M.	
Aug. 29		Notice issued.	
Sep. 2d		Parties in court, demurrer overruled. + 5 days given to answer.	
SEP 8 1903		Defendant enters general denial orally.	

No. 2569.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. M. Johnson, Plaintiff
vs.
J. W. Howell, Defendant

Action for money paid out.

Demand $ 250.00

Everts & Ewing
Stanton & Learw
Stanton
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
Oct. 27		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
Feb 28, 1903		Summons returned and filed showing defendant can not be found in the state of California.	
" " "		Order allowing Plaintiff to file amended complaint	
" " "		Amended complaint filed	
" " "		Affidavit for order of publication filed.	
" " "		Alias Summons issued.	
" "		Order of Publication of Summons filed.	
JUN 16 1903		Alias Summons returned and filed with affidavits attached showing publication and mailing as ordered by court.	

Plaintiff sworn and examined & testifies that no payments have been made on claim sued on.

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the alias summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint + amended complaint were served upon the defendant J. W. Howell by publication & mailing ~~was~~ ~~personally~~, at and in the 3d Township, County of ....., State of California, on the 1st day of May 1903 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 20 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said J. W. Howell defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $250.00 principal. $18.25 interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 24.25 Total Judgement $291.50

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

JUN 16 1903	Cost bill filed.	
JUN 16 1903	Ex. issued.	
June 24	Ex. returned and filed.	

Justice Fees	$4.75
Constable "	$2.50
~~Sheriff's~~ " Recpr	$4.00
~~Serving Summons~~ Notary	$
~~Witness Fees~~ Publishing Summons	$3.50
	$7.50
	$24.25

No. 2570.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. M. Hayden, Plaintiff
vs.
Ed. Knight and Mrs. Ed. Knight (his wife), Defendants

Action upon an o/c

Demand $

Everts & Ewing, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 31		Upon motion of plaintiff by his agent J. Stockenberry it is ordered that this case be dismissed.	
		E. A. St John, Justice of the Peace	

No. 2571

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fred R. Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

T. C. Thomas, Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $

Events & Ewing, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2 00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May	31		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"		Writ of attachment issued	
June	1		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 31st 1902.	
"	"		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied.	

Transcript of Judgment del. to County Clerk

No. 2572.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph Imperatrice, Plaintiff
vs.
Frank Arino, Defendant

Action claim & delivery of personal property
Demand $
E. S. Van Meter, Attorney for Plaintiff
W. D. Crichton, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $5.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
May 31		Complaint filed and summons issued	
June 4		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township, on June 2nd 1902.	
" 11		Defendant files answer.	
" 17		Parties in court and stipulate that the trial be set for June 24th. 1902 at 10 A. M.	
" 24		At the time set all parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds, Joseph Imperatrice, S. E. Johnson, Joe Kirkner, B. Talatico, G. A. Imperatrice and Charles Imperatrice are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff. A. G. Leverone is appointed and sworn as interpreter. Frank Arino, A. Jacobitti and Joe Gardini are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant the matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	
July 3		The Court this day finds for plaintiff for the possession of the property described in the complaint or its value $147.50 + costs. Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the recovery of the possession of those two certain horses described in the complaint herein or the sum of $147.50 the value thereof in case delivery cannot be had together with costs herein taxed at $16.50	

Done in open court this JUL 3 - 1902

G. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

Transcripts & papers del. to County Clerk

July 17		Defendant files notice of appeal
" "		Defendant files undertaking on appeal

No. 2579

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fred R. Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

Gus Sterling, Defendant

Action upon acct
Demand $14.25 & Int
~~Demand~~ $ Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $2.00	PROCEEDINGS. 6/1 Recorded for Deft. Pd. $2.50 6/1 Pd. to Pltff. 2.50 $
May 31		Complaint filed and summons issued
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" "		Writ of attachment issued.
June 1		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on May 31st 1902.

MAR 23 1904

Appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Gus Sterling, personally, at and in the 3 Township, County of Fresno State of California, on the 31 day of May 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff, it is ordered that the default of said Gus Sterling defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff, it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $14.25 principal, $2.80 interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $3.50 Total Judgement $22.55

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this MAR 23 1904

MAR 23 1904

Ex. issued.

Justice Fees	$4.75
Constable "	$
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

No. 2574

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Etta Brown, Plaintiff

vs.

W. M. Johnson, Defendant

Action claim & del. of per. property.

Demand $ 100.—

Henry Brickley, Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. Cosgrave, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 5.25 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June	1	Complaint filed and summons issued.	
"	4	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 2nd 1902	
	"	Defendant enters a general denial orally.	
July	3	Upon motion of plaintiff by her attorney it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

J. C. St John
Justice of the Peace

JUL 8 - 1902

Received, $ 3.25
In full Payment
Above Court Fees
J. M. Dunn

No. 25775

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kearney Vineyard Syndicate (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

Chas. K. Lamby, Nellie Lamby and Mabel Lamby Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 86.44

M. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 8.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June 5		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 6		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon all defendants at this Township on June 5th 1902	

JUN 28 1902
Received, $ 5.25
In part Payment
Above Const Fees
J. M. Dunlap

No. 2576.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. L. Nelson, Plaintiff
vs.
W. Grunberg, Defendant

Action on prom. note

Demand $63.10 & Int.

M. K. Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas. Gallagher, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.50 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

June 5 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued
" 7 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 7th. 1902.
" 12 Defendant enters a general demurrer and general denial orally to plaintiff's complaint.
" 13 Demurrer set for hearing on June 14/02 at 9.30 A.M.
" 14 At the time set parties in court the demurrer is submitted and by the Court overruled—
" 19 Upon motion of J. Hockenberry agent for plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed—

D. C. S. Colby
Justice of the Peace

No. 2577.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Phillip Folmer, Plaintiff
vs.
August Sperner, Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 29.50

E. S. Van Meter, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. A. Lewis, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 24.00 " " $

June 6 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 7 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 6th. 1902

" 9 Defendant appears personally and enters a general denial orally.

" " Defendant deposits in Court $24.00 as offer in full payment of Plaintiff's claim.

" 14 Parties in Court and stipulate orally that the trial be set for June 21st 1902 at 2 P.M.

" 21 At the time set all parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. P. Folmer, John Fries, Mrs. P. Folmer, Geo. Lehman, and John Miller are sworn and testify for plaintiff and A. Sperner, A. Sperner Jr, John Miller, and John Rubin are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement.

June 23 The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $26.50 & costs.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 26.50 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 12.15 Total Judgement $ 38.65

E. C. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this JUN-23-1902

" 25 Plaintiff files Mem of costs.

Received, JUL 17 1902 $ 24.00 In Payment of Judgment
E. S. Van Meter
Atty for Plaff.

No. 2578

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. W. Baillie, Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Shaver, Defendant

Action upon acct.

Demand $ 27.50 + Int

J. Hockenberry, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ due St. f. 4.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

June 11 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 16 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at 1st Township County of Fresno on June 13th 1902.

" 24 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant W. H. Shaver personally, at and in the 1st Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 13th day of June 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 10 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said W. H. Shaver defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 27.50 principal. $ 3.68 interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.00 Total Judgement $ 36.18

E. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this June 24/1902

Nov. 17 Execution issued

No. 2579.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. B. Parker doing business under the firm name of R. B. Parker & Co. — Plaintiff

vs.

Ben Kobayashi, and Max Nakida copartners doing business under the firm name of Union Restaurant — Defendants

Action on acct

Demand $ 127.85

L. L. Carter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 5.25 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June 11		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	

JUN 12 1902

Received, $ 2.50

In full Payment

Above Compt. True

W. J. Burnham

No. 2580 –

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Egisto Disperati, Plaintiff

vs.

Vincent Belli, Defendant

Action for money loaned

Demand $ 284.00

W. D. Crichton, Attorney for Plaintiff

H. Brickley, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
		due St J. 25	
June 13		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking & undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 16		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at 1st Township, Fresno County on June 14th 1902.	
" 21		Defendant enters a general demurrer orally.	

No. 2581

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Peter Droge, Plaintiff

vs.

W. F. Hunnelteuberg, Defendant

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $ 50.00 & Int

J. Hockenberry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
1902		Dem $50 & 20%	
June 14		Complaint filed & Summons issued.	
MAY 29 1903			

No. 2582

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Thomas F. Nagle
Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Lewis and
Mrs. Hattie Lewis
Defendant(s)

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 60.00 & Int & Atty fee

Smith & Estrander
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
1902	Am St. J. 2.00		
June 14		Complaint filed and summons issued	
1903 Feb 4		On motion of Atty-for Plaintiff, this case is hereby dismissed.	
		G. W. Smith, Justice of the Peace.	
		Dismissed.	

No. 2583

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. P. Darling
Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Weber
Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 47 78

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
June 16		Complaint filed	

No. 2584.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

K. S. Keoshegan, Plaintiff

vs.

John Dumas, Defendant

Action in conversion

Demand $ 50.00

W. J. Timin, Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. Cosgrave, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ due St. J 3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

June 16 Complaint filed and summons issued

" " Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 16th 1902.

" 19 Defendant enters a general denial orally.

" 20 Trial set for June 24th 1902 at 2 P. M.

" 24 The defendant herein being an officer of this court, and the court fearing and feeling that he is biased in the matter at issue, it is ordered that Justice E. H. Tucker Justice of the Peace of the 5th Township Fresno County, be and he is appointed to try the above entitled cause and to act in the place and stead of the Justice of this 3rd Township in this matter.

" " At the time set all parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds.

K. S. Keoshegan is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. Plaintiff rests. Defendant offers no evidence, and the case is argued and submitted, whereupon the court finds for defendant for costs.

Wherefore it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing by reason of this action, and that the defendant do have and recover of and from the plaintiff herein his costs herein taxed at $ 3.00

E. H. Tucker
Justice of the Peace of the 5th Township, County of Fresno, State of California; sitting at the request of, and acting for J. C. St. John Justice of the Peace of said 3rd Township.

Done in open court this JUN 24 1902

6/25 - Ad. Dumas $ 5.70

No. 2585

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. C. Boyd, Plaintiff

vs.

P. J. Collins, Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 95.00

Attorney for Plaintiff
W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ due Sr. J 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June 16		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 17		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at 6th Township County of Fresno on June 17th 1902	
" 26		Defendant enters a general demurrer orally,	

No. 2586.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. F. Brasher, Plaintiff

vs.

H. Tickel, Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 9.00

F. F. Brasher, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ Pd. " " $ 6/25 - $1.00 Pd. to Pulerston	PROCEEDINGS.	6/23-02 Deft. Pd. $ 12.00 6/25 - Pd. to F. Brasher $
June 17		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 18		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 18th. 1902.	

No. 2587

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles H. Eccleston, Plaintiff

vs.

Pleasant H. McLean, Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 104.60

W. P. Thompson, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. J. Timin, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ 3.50 ✓ due St. J. 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June 18		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" 21		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 21st 1902.	
" 26		Defendant files demurrer and answer	
MAR. 17. 1905		That set for Mar 21-1905 at 2 P.M.	
MAR. 21. 1905		At time set for trial Plaintiff in person with his Attorney - After waiting until 3 11 P.M. The trial proceeds.	
		C. H. Eccleston sworn & testified.	
		Defendant not appearing.	
		Judgment for Plaintiff	

Therefore, Judgement is rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $104.60 principal, $14.55 interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.25 Total Judgment $.....

Done in open court this MAR. 21. 905

G. W. Smith, Justice of the Peace.

Justice Fees	$ 5.00
Constable "	$ 1.25
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

MAR. 21. 905 Abstract issued.

" " " Notice of trial filed.

FEB. -4. 1910 Abstract issued.

No. 2588

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B. B. Cory, Plaintiff
vs.
J. W. Dumas, Defendant

Action upon a judgment

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June 18		Complaint filed	
1903 " 4		Summons issued.	

No. 2589

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. Malanca, Plaintiff

vs.

A. Demattei, Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 17.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June 24		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 26		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy; ~~personal service upon defendant at this Township~~	

No. 2590.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. M. Shields
Plaintiff

vs.

E. C. Buchanan
Defendant

Action upon Prom. note

Demand $ 107.47 & Int

S. L. Carter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ / " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ / " " $
1902	due Stg. 4.00		

June 25 Complaint filed and summons issued

" 26 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 25th (1902).

Appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant E. C. Buchanan personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 25th day of June 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said E. C. Buchanan defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 107.47 principal, $ .15/100 interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.00 Total Judgement $ 112.62

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this JUL 1 - 1902

Justice Fees	$ 4.00
Constable "	$ 1.00
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
	$
	$
	5.00

No. 2591

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. M. Shields, Plaintiff

vs.

Shozo Minakata, Defendant

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $41.00 & Int

J. L. Carter, Attorney for Plaintiff

M. B. Harris, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
		Due St of 5.00	
June 25		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 25		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 25th 1902.	
" 30		Defendant files demurrer	
July 8		Demurrer set for hearing for Jul. 10/02 at 10 A.M.	
" 10		Demurrer submitted and overruled and defendant given one day in which to file his answer	
" "		Notice of overruling demurrer filed showing service.	
" 12		It appearing to the Court that on the 10th day July 1902 that the demurrer in this action came on regularly for hearing, that the same was overruled by the Court and that defendant was given one day in which to file his answer and that written notice thereof was given to M. B. Harris the defendants attorney, and it further appearing to me that said defendant has failed to appear and answer as ordered by this Court and that the time therefor has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein in favor of plaintiff as prayed for.	

Wherefore, Judgement is hereby [illegible] and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 41.00 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.00 Total Judgement $ 46.00

Done in open court this JUL 12 1902

D. C. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

No. 2592

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. B. Graham and Miles Wallace partners under the firm name of Graham and Wallace, Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Hill, Defendant

Action upon acct

Demand $ 40.00

Graham & Wallace, Attorney for Plaintiff

F. Laning, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 5.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. 7/16/02 Burnham pay for	Deft. Pd. $ 5.7.6.2 " " $

June 25 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued
" 28 Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 28th 1902
" 30 Defendant files answer.
" " Trial set for July 2nd 1902 at 2 P.M.
July 2 At the time set all parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. The defendant having set up the statute of limitation as a bar to the action in this case the Court proceeds to hear the matter of defendant's defense before proceeding to the trial of the original issue.
J. H. Hill is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant.
Defendant is permitted to amend his answer by inserting a general denial, and the Court proceeds to hear the evidence ~~in~~ on the main issue.
Geo. B. Graham, Miles Wallace and E. Beason are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff. The matter is argued and submitted and taken under advisement.
" 8 The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $40.00 & costs.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 40.00 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 17.75 Total Judgement $ 57.75

Done in open court this JUL 8 - 1902

J. H. Johnson, Justice of the Peace.

Received $ 57.75 in full Judgment above Payment. Burnham & Wallace Atty for Plff. JUL 16 1902

Justice Fees $ 5.75, Constable " $ 12.00, Sheriff " $, Serving Summons, Witness Fees $, 17.75

July 9 Execution issued
" 16 Execution filed

No. 2593

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Hunault, Plaintiff

vs.

H. White, Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 16.95

M. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June 25		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2594.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. Hendrickson, Plaintiff

vs.

George Nettleton, Defendant

Action in conversion

Demand $ 50.00

A. M. Drew, Attorney for Plaintiff

J. C. Graber, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June 26		Complaint filed and summons issued	
July 2		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on July 2nd 1902.	
" 3		Defendant enters a general demurrer orally.	
" 8		Hearing of demurrer set for July 10-02 at 10 A.M.	
" 10		At the time set parties in Court demurrer submitted, and overruled.	
" "		Defendant enters a general denial orally.	
" "		Trial set for July 21/02 at 10 A.M. both parties being in Court and consenting thereto	
" 21		At the time set all parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. B. Swindall, G. Hendrickson, W. H. Spencer and A. M. Drew are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and George Nettleton and H. T. Jorgenson are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement.	
Aug 5		The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $35.00 and costs.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 35.00 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 8.95 Total Judgement $.....

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this AUG 5 1902

Aug. 6		Plaintiff files Mem of costs	
" 12		Notice of motion to retax costs filed.	
APR 28 1903		Ex. issued.	
		Apr 28 1903. Paid in full	
" "		Ex. returned and filed.	

A. M. Drew
Atty for Pltff

No. 2595

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. B. Flowers
Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. M. J. Blasingame
Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 10.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $ due Sr. J. 2.00		" " $
June 26		Complaint filed	

No. 2596.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. Graff & Co. (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

Victor Davis Defendant

Action upon an o/c.

Demand $ 85.20

H. H. Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June 27		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" 28		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 27th 1902.	
July 8		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Victor Davis personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 27th day of June 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Victor Davis defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 85.20 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 92.45	
		A. C. St John Justice of the Peace.	
		Done in open court this JUL 8 - 1902	
July 10		Execution issued	
" 11		Execution returned & filed unsatisfied.	

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 2.50
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	7.25

No. 2597

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. K. Renfro, Plaintiff

vs.

G. W. Trice, Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 52.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June 28		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
July 3		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on July 3rd 1902.	

No. 2598.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. E. Bitzer, Plaintiff

vs.

W. Groom, Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 6 50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 7 00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June 28		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	"	Summons returned & filed showing personal	
"		service upon defendant at this Township	
		on June 28 th. 1902.	
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	

No. 2599

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles H. Eccleston, Plaintiff

vs.

H. Beaton, Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 18.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
June 28		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 28		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on June 28th 1902.	
July 9		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant H. Beaton personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 28th day of June 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said H. Beaton defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff, it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 18.00 principal, $ — interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 25.75	
		Done in open court this JUL 9 - 1902 — D. C. Johns, Justice of the Peace.	
Aug. 14		Execution issued	
Sep. 25		Execution returned & filed satisfied	

JUL 9 - 1902

Received, $ 50.00

In Payment

Above Deposit as undertaking

Elias Eccleston

No. 2600.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

M. L. Decker and Mrs. M. L. Decker, Defendants

Action upon an O/C

Demand $ 79.00

Everts & Ewing, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 1		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" 2		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
" 2		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendants at this Township on July 2nd 1902.	
Oct. 30.		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendants M. L. Decker and Mrs. M. L. Decker personally at and in the 2nd Township, County of Fresno State of California, on the 2nd day of July 1902, and that said defendants have not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 10 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said defendants be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants the sum of $ 79.00 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.75 Total Judgement $ 86.75	
		Done in open court this OCT 30 1902 — J. C. Johns, Justice of the Peace.	
Oct. 30		Upon motion of plaintiff above judgment credited with $75.00	
" "		Execution issued	
Nov. 17		Execution filed unsatisfied	
" 18		Execution issued	
JAN 23 1904		Execution issued.	

Received, $ ____ In ____ Payment Above ____

No. 2601

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. F. Brasher — Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Jones — Defendant

Action upon acct

Demand $ 20.65

F. F. Brasher — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		Pltf. Pd. $ " " $4.00 Due Sh. f. 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
1902 July	1		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Aug	6		Summons returned filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on August 6th 1902	
JAN.20.1904			On motion of Plaintiff, it ordered that the default of the Defendant herein be entered.	
JAN 28 1904			It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Harry Jones personally, at and in the 3d Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 6 day of Aug, 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Harry Jones defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 20.65 principal. $ 2.15 interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.00 Total Judgement $ 27.85	
			G. W. Smith — Justice of the Peace.	
JAN.29.'904		Exd issued		
Mar.	22	Ex issued		

Justice Fees	$ 4.00
Constable "	$ 1.00
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

No. 2602.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. H. Hollenbeck and E. E. Bush, Plaintiffs

vs.

W. H. Miller, Defendant

Action on an o/c.

Demand $ 24.70

W. H. Hollenbeck, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ due Sr 9.4.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 2		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 7		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at the County of Kings, State of California on July 3rd 1902.	
" 30		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant W. H. Miller personally, at and in the ——— Township, County of Kings, State of California, on the 3rd day of July 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 20 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said W. H. Miller defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 24.70 principal. $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.00 Total Judgement $ 30.70	
		Done in open court this July 30th 1902. G. C. St. John, Justice of the Peace.	
1904 Jan 9		Abstract of Judgment issued	

Six Sums. 1.00
Clerks Cert .25

No. 2603.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas. A. Schweizer, Plaintiff

vs.

Auguste Roy, Defendant

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $ 81.00 + Int & atty. fee

E. S. Van Meter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

By Mason

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 1.77.00 " " $
July 3		Complaint filed	
APR 3 1903		Amended complaint filed and Summons issued.	
Nov. 27		Summons returned and filed, showing personal service on defendant at 4 Township on Nov. 25 - 1903.	
FEB. 13. 1904		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant August Roy personally, at and in the 4 Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 25 day of Nov. 1903 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 10 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said August Roy defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 150 30 principal. $ — interest, $ 20 00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7 00 Total Judgement $ 177 30	
		G. W. Smith, Justice of the Peace.	
		Done in open court this FEB. 13. '904	
MAR. 13. 1904		Ex issued.	
MAR 29 1904		Ex returned & filed.	

Justice Fees	$ 4.00
Constable "	$ 3.00
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

MAR. 29. '904

Received, $ 177 00
In full Payment
Above Judgment
E S Van Meter
Atty for Pltff

No. 2604.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Barrett Hicks Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Hill
Defendant

Action upon a/c stated

Demand $ 20.10

W K Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ chg'd " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 7.		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 10		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on July 8th 1902	

No. 2605

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

K. Sakamoto, Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Herndon, Defendant

Action upon an a/c for labor

Demand $ 117.25

E. A. Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff

Everts & Ewing, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ Due St & J. 3.00 PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July	9	Complaint filed & summons issued
"	16	Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on July 11th 1902
"	"	Defendant files demurrer
"	18	Stipulation filed consenting to overruling of demurrer and giving defendant five days to answer.
"	23	Stipulation filed extending time to file answer 3 days longer
"	26	Defendant enters a general denial orally.
Aug.	2	Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for Aug 4/1902 at 10 A.M.
"	4	Notice of time of trial filed.
"	"	At the time set plaintiff in court and after waiting for more than one hour thereafter and the defendant having failed to appear at the trial, and it appearing that said defendant had legal notice thereof it is ordered that the trial proceed in his absence E. A. Williams is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. Plaintiff files assignment of claims. The matter is submitted and the court finds for plaintiff for $113.32 & costs

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 113.32 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 4.75 Total Judgement $ 120.07

Done in open court this AUG 4 1902

J. W. Johnson, Justice of the Peace.

No. 2606.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. J. Hammond, Plaintiff

vs.

F. Linderman, Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 14.31

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.25 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 8		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 9		Summons returned filed showing personal	
"		service upon defendant at this Township	
		on July 8th, 1902.	
" 25		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant F. Linderman personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 8th day of July 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said F. Linderman defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for, Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 14.31 principal, $ ..... interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 21.56 Done in open court this JUL 25 1902 C. C. Folsom Justice of the Peace.	
July 25		Execution issued —	

No. 2607.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Barrett & Hicks Company (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

E. Bruson Defendant

Action upon an O/C

Demand $ 21.10 + Int

M K Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ due St. J. 2.75 PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July	9	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
"1903	"	Writ of attachment issued
Jan.	16	Summons returned & filed
"	26	Defendant in court, and enters general denial orally.

No. 2608

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. Knobloch, Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Graham, Defendant

Action upon Promw. Note

Demand $ 114.00 + Int + atty fee

E. S. Van Meter, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 10		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
APR 6 - 1904		Summons returned and filed showing personal service on defendant at this Township on April 6-1904,	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Thomas Graham personally, at and in the 3d Township, County of Fresno State of California, on the ..... day of ..... 19 ... and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that the default of said Thomas Graham defendant.. be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged, that the plaintiff.. do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $114.00 principal, $ 81.95 interest, $20.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.75 Total Judgement $201.70

114.00
81.95
5.75
201.70

G. W. Smith, Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this APR. 14. 1904

APR. 14. 1904

Exhausted,

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 1.00
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

No. 2609

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. H. Eccleston and L. R. Eccleston, Plaintiffs

vs.

K. C. Myers, Defendant

Action on an a/c.

Demand $ 9.10

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 by W.H.P. " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July	10	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	11	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
"	29	Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed	
		B C S John Justice of the Peace	
Aug	4	$.50. Dept. Paid to pltff -	

No. 2610.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Porteous, Plaintiff

vs.

L. L. Follett, Defendant

Action on acct o/c

Demand $ 25.20

W. J. Porter, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ chgd " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 11 Aug 20		Complaint filed and summons issued Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant in the County of Kings State of California on the 22nd day of July 1902.	
" "		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant L. L. Follett personally, at and in the ..... Township, County of Kings, State of California, on the 22nd day of July 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit 20 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said L. L. Follet defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $25.20 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.75 Total Judgement $32.95 S. C. St. John Justice of the Peace. Done in open court this Aug 20/1902	

No. 2611.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Luther Nill & Lee Cutbirth a copartnership doing business under the firm name and style of Nill & Cutbirth Plaintiffs

vs.

W. Robinson Defendant

Action upon an acc

Demand $ 8.50

W. T. Porter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 7.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
1902			
July 11		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
Aug 26		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case is dismissed	

D. C. S. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2612

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Julius Weilheimer, Samuel E. Weilheimer and Henry Weilheimer, as executors of the will of A. Weilheimer deceased Plaintiff

vs.

J. L. Reed Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 226.34

F. Kauke
Attorney for Plaintiff

F. H. Short & F. E. Cook
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 11		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 22		Defendant files demurrer,	

No. 2613

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. Genignanini, Plaintiff

vs.

Carlo Zignorini, Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 14.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	7/15 Deft. Pd. $ 17.20 " " $
July	11		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"		Writ of attachment issued	
"	16		Upon motion of plaintiff this case is dismissed	

B C S John
Justice of the Peace

July 16/02
Received, $17.20
In Payment
Above demand costs
A. G. Lenerone
Atty for Pltff

No. 2614

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Julius Weilheimer, Samuel E. Weilheimer and Henry Weilheimer, as executors of the will of A. Weilheimer deceased Plaintiffs

vs.

L. W. Carutters, Mrs. W. A. Carutters and W. A. Carutters Defendants

Action upon written Promise

Demand $ 102.50 & Int

J. Kauke
Attorney for Plaintiff

M. K. Harris
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 12		Complaint filed & Summons issued	
" 28		Defendants file answer	

No. 2615

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William Newman, Plaintiff

vs.

T. M. Littler, Defendant

Action on Promr. Note

Demand $ 93.00 + Int.

M. K. Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 4.75 " " $ ..... PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ ..... " " $ .....
July	12	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
"	"	Writ of attachment issued
Aug	29	Summons returned filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on August 6th 1902.

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant T. M. Littler personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 6th day of August 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said T. M. Littler defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, that the said plaintiff do ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 93.00 principal, $ 18.82 interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 11.75 Total Judgement $ 123.57

Done in open court this AUG 29 1902

B. C. St. John, Justice of the Peace.

Aug	30	Execution issued	4.75 7.00
Sep.	8	Upon motion of plaintiff by J Hockenberry above judgment is credited with $64.50	11.75
"	8	Writ of attachment returned and filed.	

No. 2616.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. E. Sloat, Plaintiff

vs.

J. B. Brewster, Defendant

Action for house rent

Demand $17 50

W. T. Porter, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 50 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 14		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
Sep. 10		Upon motion of Plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

S. C. St John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2617.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fred R. Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

J. F. Okeley, Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $27.90 & Int

Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 7.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 14		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2618

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas A. Schweizer, Plaintiff
vs.
J. W. Carlile, Defendant

Action ~~upon Promissory Note~~ Upon a judgment
Demand $ 210.18 & Cost
E. S. Van Winter, Attorney for Plaintiff
Geo. Cosgrave, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ pd " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

July 15 Complaint filed.
Aug 26 Summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued.
" 30 Defendant by his attorney files a general demurrer orally.
Sep. 2 Hearing of demurrer set for Sept. 3rd 02 at 2 P.M.
" " Defendant's attorney notified by the Court personally of the time set for hearing the demurrer.
" 3 At the time set plaintiff in Court and after waiting for more than one hour after the time set for hearing the demurrer it is ordered that the hearing proceed in the absence of defendant, he having had legal notice thereof. The matter is then submitted and the demurrer is overruled by the Court and defendant ordered to answer forthwith; and the defendant failing to file his answer as ordered herein it is ordered that the default of said defendant be and the same is hereby entered, and Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for:

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff.. do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 210.18 principal, $ 73.56 interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 10.25 Total Judgement $ 293.99

Done in open court this SEP 3 1902

E. S. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Received, $ 50.00 In Payment
Above deposit as bond
Chas A Schweizer
Deff

Above judgment satisfied in full this Sept 11th. 1902.
E. Mutteter
Atty for Deff.

No. 2619

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Albert Brouge, Plaintiff
vs.
E. W. Parkinson, Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $205.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $2.50 " " $ PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July	16	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
"	"	Writ of attachment issued
"	18	Upon motion of plaintiff case dismissed
		B. C. Solen Justice of the Peace
"	"	$50.00 Deposit rtd. to plaintiff.

No. 2620

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. H. Burnett, Plaintiff

vs.

Troy Laundry Machinery Co. Limited (a corporation), Defendant

Action for commission

Demand $ 150 00

Frank Kauke, Attorney for Plaintiff

F. H. Short, J. J. Dwyer & F. E. Cook, Attorneys for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2 00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July	16		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	21		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at the City and County of San Francisco, State of California on July 17th 1902.	
Aug.	6		Defendant files affidavit & notice of motion to dismiss	
"	"		Affidavit of service by mail filed	
"	27		Plaintiff filed amended complaint	
"	"		Stipulation filed	
"	"		Parties in Court and stipulate that the hearing of defendant's motion to dismiss and of the demurrer be heard at this time, and the motion to dismiss and the demurrer is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	
Sep.	12		The Court this day denies defendant's motion to dismiss, and overrules defendants demurrer, and defendant given two days in which to answer.	
"	"		Defendant files answer	
"	29		Parties in Court and stipulate orally that the trial of this action be set for Oct. 21/02 - 10 AM	
Oct.	18		Subpoena issued	
"	19		Subpoena served returned & filed	
"	20		Upon motion of F. Kauke attorney for plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

F. C. St John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2621

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. H. Eccleston
Plaintiff

vs.

A. Shore
Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 40.13

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July	17		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"		Writ of attachment issued	
"	17		Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy.	
Oct.	8		Upon motion of Plaintiff it is ordered that the above entitled case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

S. A. Selby
Justice of the Peace

No. 2622.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. H. Eccleston, Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Brown, Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 58.10

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 17		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" 18		Upon motion of plaintiff the above case is dismissed.	

S. C. St John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2623.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Julia E. Seamans, Plaintiff

vs.

A. B. Peters, M. Surabian, A. Surabian, B. Aboranian and J. Surabian, Defendants

Action upon promissory note

Demand $ 200.00 + Int

Geo. L. Warlow, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $ due St. J. 2.00 PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July	18	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
"	"	Writ of attachment issued
Nov.	25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant M. Surabian, on July 19th 1902, on defendant A. Surabian on August 19th 1902, upon A. B. Peters on July 18th 1902 and upon defendant J. Surabian on July 19th 1902 all in the 3rd Township, Fresno County.
"	"	Original note sued upon is filed.
"	"	It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, and a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendants M. Surabian, A. Surabian, A. B. Peters, J. Surabian personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 19th day of August 1902 and prior to and that said defendants have not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said M. Surabian, A. Surabian, A. B. Peters and J. Surabian defendants be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants M. Surabian, A. Surabian, A. B. Peters, & J. Surabian the sum of $ 200.00 principal $ 16.67 interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 22.25 Total Judgement $ 238.92 T. C. St. John, Justice of the Peace. Done in open court this NOV 25 1902
Dec.	3	Assignment of Judgment to P. K. Peters filed.

No. 2624.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. S. King, Plaintiff
vs.
A. J. Arnes, Defendant

Action bal. due on sale of land
Demand $ 43.00

E. A. Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff
Thompson & Prince, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.00 PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July	21	Complaint filed and summons issued
Aug	11	Demurrer of Defendant entered
"	14	Summons filed
Sept	15	Parties in Court demurrer submitted and overruled and defendant enters a general denial orally.
Oct.	13	Trial set for Oct. 18th 1902 at 2 P. M.
"	18	Defendant files amended answer.
"	"	At the time set parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. W. S. King, and J. Hockenberry are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and A. J. Arnes is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The matter is submitted with understanding that parties file briefs.
Dec.	30	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $43.00 + costs.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 43.00 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 8.50 Total Judgement $.....

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this DEC 30 1902

Justice fee 5.00
Ser Summ 2.50
1 Witness 1.00
8.50

No. 2625.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Norman Bredin, Plaintiff
vs.
Michael White and Mrs. Michael White, Defendants

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 43.00

E. S. Van Meter, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Crichton, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Burnham	7/23 – Deft. Pd. $ 86.00 " " $

July 23 Complaint filed and summons issued.
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.
" " Writ of attachment issued.
" " Defendant enters a general demurrer orally.
" " Parties in Court and defendant moves the Court for and order to discharge the attachment in whole, and deposits in Court $86.00 U.S. Gold coin in lieu of undertaking on release of attachment as per sections 554 & 555 C.C.P. – And appearing to the Court that the defendant has appeared herein and that he has furnished the necessary bond on release of attachment to wit $86.00 U.S. Gold coin it is ordered that all property levied upon by virtue of the writ issued herein belonging to the defendant be released and delivered to the defendant. –
" 23 Summons returned & filed showing service upon defendant at this Township on July 23rd 1902
" 26 Defendant enters a general denial orally.
" 28 Trial set for July 31st 1902 at 10 am
" 28 Subpoena issued
" 30 Subpoena returned & filed sworn
" 31 At the time set parties in Court and the trial proceeds. N. Bredin, J. DePoister, C. Smith, J. R. Albertson and Geo. P. McNeil are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and M. White, S. Walton and J. W. Carroll are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted
Aug. 5 The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $25.00 against defendant Michael White

Aug 9/1902 – Notice of Appeal filed
" " Undertaking on Appeal filed.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged, that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from Michael White the defendant the sum of $ 25.00 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 21.60 Total Judgement $ 46.60

Done in open court this AUG 5 1902

E. S. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

Justice Fees
Constable's
Sheriff's
Serving Summons
Witness Fees

Received, $ 86.00 in Payment
Above cash bond on release of attachment.
Michael White

Sept. 3 – 1902

No. 2626.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lee Zong, Plaintiff

vs.

Tum AK, Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 129.80

J. O. Trober, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Tupper, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
	due St. J. 2.75	Burnham	
July 25		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 28		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on July 26th 1902.	
" 29		Defendant enters a general denial orally.	
" "		Parties in Court and plaintiff waives written notice on the part of defendant that he will apply for an order for release of attachment in the above entitled action upon filing an such undertaking as ordered by the Court, and said defendant moves the Court for and order releasing the attachment herein, and it appearing to the Court that the defendant has appeared herein and plaintiff having waived any further notice thereof it is therefore ordered that the attachment herein be discharged upon filing an undertaking in the sum of $160.00 by at least two sureties	
Sep 2		Defendant files undertaking in $160.00 to release attachment and the Court orders that the attachment herein be discharged and that the property so held be restored to defendant.	
Nov. 10		Stipulation filed relieving bondsmen on release of attachment of all liability –	

Const Fee 2.00
Justice Fee 2.75

Sept. 3 - 1902

No. 2627

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Antonio Urrutia
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe King
Defendant

Action Unlawful detainer

Demand $

J. C. Traber
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Durham	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 25		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 26		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on July 25th, 1902.	

No. 2628.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. E. L. Good
Plaintiff

vs.

A. K. Harbison and
Ida S. Harbison
Defendants

Action upon Promissory note

Demand $ 100.00 & Int & Atty fee

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $	due Sh. J. 2.00	" " $
July 26		Complaint filed and	

No. 2629

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kuhnur Goldstein Company (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

B. C. Appling Defendant

Action Upon Promissory Note

Demand $ 30.43 + Int. + Atty fee

D. S. Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ cl'gd	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 26		Complaint filed and summons issued Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed Writ of attachment issued	
Aug. 28		Defendant files notice of motion to dismiss the action	
" "		Defendant files demurrer	
Sep. 5		At the set parties in Court and the motion to dismiss is argued and submitted the certified copy of the order adjudging the defendant a bankrupt being filed as evidence and the Court orders that the attachment made herein be discharged and the property be released, and that proceedings herein be stayed until Jan. 23rd 1903.	

No. 26030.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Hockenberry, Plaintiff

vs.

E. C. Adams, Defendant

Action upon Promi. Note

Demand $ 56.00 & Int. & Atty fee

M K Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
		Dumas	
July 28		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" 28		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on July 28th 1902	

AUG 4 1902

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant E. C. Adams personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 28th day of July 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said E. C. Adams defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 56.00 principal, $ —— interest, $ 15.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 78.25

C. A. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this AUG 4 1902

Aug 4		Abstract issued
Aug. 5		Execution issued
"	5	Order made citing Mrs. E. C. Adams, E. C. Fay and Mrs. E. C. Fay to appear in Supp. proedgs. on Aug 9 & 2 and 11
"	8	Parties stipulate orally that the examination be continued without date to be set upon return of the Justice of this Court.

No. 26 31

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Metzger — Plaintiff

vs.

J. D. Morgan — Defendant

Action Claim & delivery of Ser. Property

Demand $225.00

W. D. Tupper — Attorney for Plaintiff

H. M. Johnston — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $2.00 PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July	25	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	30	Defendant files answer
"	"	Summons filed
Aug.	2	Trial set for August 6th 1902 at 10 A.M.
"	6	~~Venire issued for 20 citizens to act as jurors~~
"	6	At the time set all parties in Court and the trial proceeds. T. B. Lowery, Jeff Davis, D. C. McLaughlin, J. A. Lockie, E. Matheson, C. Thomas L. P. Nil, C. P. Fuller, R. Spence, F. Packard, E. Renfro, & L. A. Rose are sworn as jurors to try the case M. Metzger, and L. Samuels are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and defendant declines to offer any evidence and the case is submitted and the Court instructs the jury to find for the plaintiff. The jury retired for deliberation in charge of a sworn officer and afterward return into Court with the following verdict. In the above entitled case we the jury find that the plaintiff is entitled to a return of the machine sued for, or in case a return cannot be had, that plaintiff have judgment for their value $225.00 (signed) B. C. Thomas, foreman — Wherefore it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do leave and recover of and from the defendant said nine slot machines described in the complaint herein, or the sum of $225.00 the value thereof in case delivery of same cannot be had together with costs herein taxed at $33.85
Aug. 9th 1902		Notice of appeal filed
" "		Undertaking on appeal filed

Justice Fees 5.00
Constable Fees Preston 2.00
Witness Fees Dunas 2.85
Jury Fees 24.00
$33.85

Done in open court this AUG 6 1902

D. C. S. John
Justice of the Peace

See page 69

No. 2632.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Auguste Parret, Plaintiff

vs.

F. W. Helen, Defendant

Action for money paid out for defendant

Demand $ 55.45 & Int

Everts & Ewing, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Aug. 4		Defendant appears personally and enters a general denial orally.	
"	"	Summons returned & filed served.	

No. 2633.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Wilber Cate, Plaintiff

vs.

J. T. Adkins, Defendant

Action on acct

Demand $43.00

J. E. T. Edwards, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
Oct. 7		Now comes plaintiff by his attorney and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

J. C. Johns
Justice of the Peace

No. 2634

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. H. Eccleston, Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Cowan, Defendant

Action on Acct

Demand $ 74.90

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Burnham	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" "		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this T/P. on July 29th 1902	
" 30		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	
		F. C. St. John Justice of the Peace	
Aug 4		$50.00 Deposit Retd to Pltff —	
		M. Metzgar vs J. L. Morgan	See page 66
Aug 9		Notice on Appeal & Undertaking on Appeal filed	

No. 2635

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas. Schweizer, Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Pretzner, Defendant

Action upon judgment

Demand $ 75.08 & Int

E. S. Van Meter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 30		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Aug. 26		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Aug 26th 1902.	
Sep 1		Now comes plaintiff by his attorney E. S. Van Meter and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed, the defendant having paid the demand & costs in full	

D. C. Stephen
Justice of the Peace

No. 2636.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. E. Langley, Plaintiff
vs.
B. H. Paul, Defendant

Action for services

Demand $ 250.00

Geo. Cosgrave, Attorney for Plaintiff

M. P. Harris, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.50	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 30		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Aug. 11		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Aug. 11th 1902	
Sep 2		Defendant files answer	
" "		Defendant files demand for bill of particulars.	
" "		Plaintiff files amended complaint	
" "		Plaintiff files bill of particulars	
" 13		Trial set for Sept. 17th 1902 at 2 P.M.	
" 17		At the time set parties in Court and the trial proceeds, T. E. Langley and H. P. Cotton are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and B. H. Paul is sworn and testifies in behalf defendant. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement.	
Oct. 17		The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $249.65	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant, the sum of $249.65 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.85 Total Judgement $ 256.50

B. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this OCT 17 1902

Oct. 17		Memorandum of costs filed	
" "		Abstract of Judgment issued	.50 Paid
Nov. 6		Defendant files notice of appeal	
" "		" " Undertaking on appeal in the sum of $513.	

No. 2637

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fred R. Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

J. F. Johnson, Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 13.40

Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
July 31		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
JUL 24 1903		On motion of Plaintiff this action is hereby dismissed	

L W Smith
Justice of the Peace of the Third Township, County of Fresno.

No. 2638

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. F. Maxwell, Plaintiff

vs.

J. B. Roehl, Defendant

Action for money loaned

Demand $ 15.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug	1	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	2	Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on August 1st 1902	
"	7	Writ of attachment returned & filed void.	

No. 2639

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs. C. E. Gray, Plaintiff

vs.

Robert Martin, Defendant

Action for house rent

Demand $ 20.00

A. M. Drew, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ ..... " " $ .....
		Due St. J. 2.00	
Aug 2		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" "		Affidavit & undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" "		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on August 2nd 1902	

~~It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant~~ Robert Martin ~~personally, at and in the~~ 3rd ~~Township, County of~~ Fresno, ~~State of California, on the~~ 2nd ~~day of~~ August 1902 ~~and that said defendant had not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said~~ Robert Martin ~~defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.~~

~~Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is hereby ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 20.00 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 27.25~~

Done in open court this AUG 29 1902

Justice of the Peace.

Sept 5		Execution issued
" "		Order made in Sup. Proceedings.

No. 2640

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Annie F. Parsons
Plaintiff

vs.

W. L. Collins & Sig Worner
Defendants

Action on acc o/c

Demand $ 31 73

Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Stratton & Stratton
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug 2		Complaint filed & summons issued	
" "		~~Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed~~	
" "		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendants at this Township on August 2nd 1902.	
" 8		Defendants file answer	
Aug. 31		Deposition filed.	
JAN 30 1904		Trial set for Feb. 5-1904 at 10 A.m.	
APR.-8. 905		On motion of Plaintiff this action is hereby dismissed —	

G. W. Smith
J. P.

No. 2641

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. Jacob, Plaintiff
vs.
E. Esperati sometimes written E. Desperati, Defendant

Action upon Promi. Note

Demand $ 200.– + Int + atty fee

Geo. Cosgrave + M. E. Power
Attorneys for Plaintiff

A. C. Williams
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 4.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug 2		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 5		Summons returned + filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Aug. 4th 1902	
		Defendant enters a general denial orally	
1903 Feb. 21		Plaintiff moves that complaint be amended by substituting Susman Mitchell, executor of the last will and Testament of E. Jacob deceased, as plaintiff herein in place of E. Jacob which motion is hereby granted.	
AUG 18 1903		At request of Atty. Cosgrave. trial set for Aug. 28-1903, at 10 A.m.	
Nov 5		Trial set for Nov. 13-1903. at 10 a.m.	
NOV 7 1903		Defendant files answer.	
" 13, 1903		At the time set for trial all parties in court and the trial proceeds. Witnesses sworn. E. Esperati, and W. D. Lenihan testify on the part of defendant. The note as evidence of indebtedness was introduced and admitted evidence on the part of Plaintiff.	
JAN. 7-1904		The Court this day finds for Plaintiff.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 200.00 principal. $ 120.00 interest, $ 15.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 15.00 Total Judgement $ 350.00

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this JAN. 7-1904

JAN. 9-1904	Mem. of Costs filed.	
FEB. 3-1904	Abstract sent	
FEB. 4-1904	" "	

Justice Fees	$ 5.75
Constable "	$ 9.25
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

No. 2642

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. R. Madary, Plaintiff

vs.

Valley Planing Mill (a corporation), Defendant

Action upon an O/C.

Demand $ 261.60

F. E. Cook, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
1902 Aug 2		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit & undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2643.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. T. Porter, Plaintiff

vs.

J. G. Houghton, Defendant

Action Tort

Demand $ 6.00

W. T. Porter, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug 14		Complaint Affidavit & Undertaking filed due Srj. 2.75	
" 14		Writ of Attachment & Summons issued	

No. 2644

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Porteoces, Plaintiff
vs.
W S Barnes et al, Defendants

Action Attachment

Demand $108.77

W. T. Porter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug 15		Complaint Affidavit and Undertaking filed.	
" 15		Summons and Writ of Attachment issued	
" 26		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

J C Sohn
Justice of the Peace

No. 2645

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs J. F. Mahoney, Plaintiff

vs.

John Lord Bucher, Defendant

Action Claim & delivery

Demand $

A. A. Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. L. Warlow, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 8.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug 19		Complaint filed Summons issued	
" 28		Defendant files notice of motion to dismiss - Hearing of motion set for Aug 28 - '02 at 10 A.M.	
" "		At the time set parties in court motion argued and submitted and motion granted and it is ordered that this case be dismissed without prejudice upon the ground that the action is brought in the wrong Township.	

S C St John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2646

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

San Joaquin River Oil Co. Plaintiff

vs.

F. A. Fouin Defendant

Action

Demand $12 50

Everts & Ewing Attorneys for Plaintiff

Jas. Gallagher Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 50 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug	22	Complaint filed Summons issued	
"	28	Defendant by his attorney enters a general demurrer and general denial orally.	
"	"	Summons filed	

No. 2687

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

San Joaquin River Oil Co
Plaintiff

vs.

W. N. Thorp
Defendant

Action

Demand $25.00

Everts and Ewing
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Graham & Wallace
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug	22		Complaint filed Summons issued	
"	23		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	
"			upon defendant at this Tp. on Aug. 23rd 1902	
"	28		Defendant by his attorneys enters a general	
			demurrer orally	

No. 2648

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

San Joaquin River Oil Co
Plaintiff

vs.

A. Bracker

Defendant

Action

Demand $50.00

Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug	22	Complaint filed Summons issued	
"	28	Defendant appears personally and enters a general denial orally.	
"	"	Summons filed	

No. 2649.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo. C. Roeding, Plaintiff

vs.

Burr Roye, Defendant

Action upon Brown Note

Demand $17.90 + Int + Atty fee

Snow & Freeman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug 26		Complaint filed. Summons issued.	

AUG 6 1903

No. 2650

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Thomas B. McCarthy, Plaintiff

vs.

E. C. Dunn, Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 70.00 + Int. + Atty fee

L. B. Haynhurst, Attorney for Plaintiff

J. L. Carter, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Question	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug 28		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Oct. 15		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Oct. 15th 1902.	
" 20		Defendant files demurrer.	
Dec. 13		Parties in court demurrer submitted and overruled and by stipulation the defendant is given five days in which to answer	
" 18		Defendant files answer	
MAY 20 1903		On motion of Plaintiff this action is hereby dismissed.	

G. W. Smith,

Justice of the Peace of the Third Township, County of Fresno.

Dismissed.

No. 2651

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O. I. Fisher, Plaintiff

vs.

J. D. Collins, Sheriff of the County of Fresno, Defendant

Action for Keeper's Fees.

Demand $ 260.00

W. K. Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Cory, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
	due St. J. 2.00		
Aug. 28		Complaint filed & summons issued	
Dec. 24		Defendant files a general demurrer.	

No. 2652.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Barrett Sticks Company a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Madera Water Company and Madera Electric Company, a corporation
Defendants.

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 11.97

M. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug 28		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2653.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. P. Darling, Plaintiff
vs.
C. H. Kazangian, Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 85.00

H. W. Johnston
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. B. Harris
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug. 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
Sep. 2		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Sept. 2nd 1902	
" 8		Defendant files answer	
" "		Demand for bill of particulars	
" 29		Upon motion of plaintiff by his attorney H W Johnston it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

E C St John
Justice of the Peace

No. 26524

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Europian Brothers
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Nick Kuzmich
Defendant

Action upon prom. notes

Demand $183.75 & Int. & Atty fee

M. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 00 " " $ ✓	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug	29	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	30	Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Aug. 30th, 1902.	

SEP. 15. '905

appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a copy together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Nick Kuzmich in the 3d Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 30 day of Aug. 1902 said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatso and that the legal time therefor, towit: ..... days has fully expired, now upon motion said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Nick Kuzmich defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ ..... principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee together with costs incurred herein at $ ..... Total Judgement $ .....

G. W. Smith,
Justice of the Peace

Done in open court
this

SEP. 15. '905

Justice Fees ..... $ 4 00
Constable " ..... $
Sheriff's " ..... $
Serving Summons ..... $
Witness Fees ..... $

No. 2655

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. P. Lindsey and John Dooley, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
H. A. Turner, Defendant

Action for unliquidated damages

Demand $ 125.00

Jas. A. Burns & Oregon Sanders, Attorneys for Plaintiff

Henry Brickley, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug.	29	Complaint filed and summons issued	
Sept.	3	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Sept 3rd 1902.	
"	5	Defendant files answer.	
Oct.	3	Trial set for Oct. 7th 1902 at 10 A.M.	
"	7	Subpoena issued in behalf of defendant	
"	"	Above subpoena served returned & filed	
"	"	At the time set parties in Court and plaintiff demands a jury and the trial is postponed to 2 o'clock P.M. of this day —	
		Venire issued for jury —	
		Venire served returned & filed	
		At the time set parties in Court and the trial proceeds, and W. M. Harrell, C. S. Grunberg, H. Linkins, J. J. Bowen, P. C. Taylor, John Nelson, R. P. Carter, and J. W. Rasmussen, are sworn as jurors to try the case, the parties having stipulated in open Court to try the case with eight jurors, E. P. Lindsey A. W. Lindsey, J. Graham, T. E. Twining, B. Williams, J. Dooley and T. Hance are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff, and H. A. Turner, Stanley Hance Dr. Mooney Fred Hance and A. S. Scott are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is argued and submitted to the jury who retire for deliberation in charge of a sworn officer and afterward return into Court with the following verdict viz: "In the above entitled case we the jury find for defendant. (signed) W. M. Harrell, foreman". The jury is polled and the verdict found unanimous. Wherefore it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing by reason of his action and that defendant do have and recover of and from the plaintiff his costs herein taxed at $25.00	

D. C. McJohn
Justice of the Peace

Oct. 5/02. Mem. of costs filed
" 11/02. Notice of Motion to vacate judgment filed.
Nov. 6/02 Parties in Court and the Court fines costs at $25.00
Nov. 6/02 Plaintiff's file notice of appeal
Nov. 6/02 " Undertaking on appeal filed

Received $ 25.00 In full Above Payment
Henry Brickley
Atty for Deft

No. 2656.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. B. Flowers, Plaintiff

vs.

J. C. Colquhoun, Defendant

Action on an a/c for money had & received

Demand $ 209.25

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
1902			
Aug 29		Complaint filed	

No. 2657.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. Englebretson, Plaintiff

vs.

J. Caliagian, Defendant

Action unlawful detainer

Demand $42.00 Trib. & rns. of premises

E. A. Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
Aug 30		Complaint filed & Summons issued	

Oct. 31/02 Warrant issued
Nov. 17/02 Notice of appeal filed
" undertaking on appeal filed.
W. H. Transcript delivered to Geo. Bullard

Europian Bros.
vs
S. Baker

$147.34 & costs.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $147.34 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $54.30 Total Judgment $ 201.64

Done in open court 201.64
this OCT 29 1902

B C St John
Justice of the Peace.

No. 2658

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Seropian Brothers a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

S. Baker, sometimes known as Steven Baker, Defendant

Action upon contract

Demand $ 200.00

W. B. Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Aug	30		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"		Writ of attachment issued	
Sep.	2		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Sept. 2nd 1902	
"	"		Defendant files notice of motion to dissolve attachment and hearing of motion set for Sep. 4 - 02 at 1:30 P.M.	
"	4		At the time set parties in court the motion is argued and submitted and taken under advisement —	
"	6		The court this day denies defendant's motion to dissolve the attachment —	
"	8		Defendant files Demurrer and answer.	
"	13		Plaintiff files demand for certain papers.	
"	"		Trial set for Sept. 15th. 1902. at 10 A.M.	
"	15		Plaintiff by its attorney waives all notice and consents that the court make an order releasing the attachment in the above entitled case upon filing by defendant a bond for release of attachment with two or more sureties in the sum of $250.00 —	
"	"		Defendant files bond in $250.00 and it is ordered that the attachment herein be discharged and property delivered to defendant.	
Sept	15		At the time set parties in court, announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. Geo. Seropian, John Seropian and J. A. Humphreys are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff, Defendant moves for non-suit, and the same to be submitted upon briefs —	
Oct.	29		The court this day denies defendant's motion for non-suit. Defendant's attorneys are notified personally of the courts decision and they decline to offer testimony in defendant's behalf and submit the case, and the court finds for the plaintiff for	

No. 2659.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Albert Brouge, Plaintiff

vs.

H. A. Carr, Defendant

Action on acc't &c.,

Demand $ 271.60

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sep.	3	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2660.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. G. Jones Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

H. C. Marshall
Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery of personal Property

Demand $ property or $299. the value

Thompson & Prince
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
		Aug 8 J 2.00	
Sep. 4		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 5		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at the 5th Township on Sept. 4th 1902.	

No. 2661.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Cross Angsbury Lumber Company, a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

George W. Smith
Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 184.25 & Int

J. O. Traber
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sept 6		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 11		Defendant enters a general demurrer orally -	
Nov. 24		Defendant enters a general denial orally -	
Dec. 2		Now comes plaintiff by its attorney and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed, without prejudice.	

D. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2662.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Hockenberry, Plaintiff
vs.
E. R. Perry, Defendant

Action upon O/c & Prom. Note

Demand $ 132.00 & Int.

W. K. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Thompson & Prince
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
	due St J. 5.75		
Sep. 6		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued.	
" 6		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Sept. 6th 1902.	
" 11		Defendant files a general demurrer orally,	
" 17		Defendant files answer	
" 23		Parties in Court and stipulate orally that the trial of this action take place on Sept. 24th 1902 - at 10 AM	
" 24		At the time set all parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. J. Hockenberry and W. M. Wyatt are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff, and F. H. Short, J. W. Dumas F. E. Cook and E. R. Perry are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and the court finds as follows. That plaintiff take nothing by reason of his first cause of action, and that plaintiff have judgment against the defendant for $100.00 and interest on his second cause of action.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 100.00 principal, $ 43.33 interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 9.80 Total Judgement $ 153.13

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Sep. 25 Plaintiff files Mem. of costs.

No. 2663

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. B. Howard, Plaintiff

vs.

The City of Fresno, Defendant

Action on acct &c

Demand $ 24.55

W. D. Tupper, Attorney for Plaintiff

H. M. Johnston, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 5.00 " " $ ..... PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ ..... " " $ .....
Sept	8	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Sept. 8th 1902.
"	13	Defendant enters a general denial orally –
Oct.	10	Trial set for Oct. 13th – 1902 at 2 P.M.
"	13	At the time set all parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. T. B. Howard, J. B. Johnson, Dave Cosgrave and J. B. Myers are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff. The matter is submitted and the court finds for plaintiff for $24.55 + costs.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 24.55 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 8.10 Total Judgement $ 32.65

Done in open court this Oct. 13/'02.

R. C. St. John, Justice of the Peace.

" 31 Abstract of Judgment issued

No. 2664

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. J. Hammond
Plaintiff

vs.

Raley-Chapman Company
a corporation
Defendant

Action upon an o/c.

Demand $ 72.90

M. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

C. D. Wright (San Jose
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sep	10	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
Oct.	6	Defendant files demurrer	
"	9	Stipulation filed.	

No. 2665

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Bakersfield Hardware Company, a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

Nineteen Oil Company a corporation, Defendant

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $ 299.00

Bernhard & Sutherland, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. D. Crichton, Attorney for Defendant

10/3-02 1st half BK for

DATE 1902		PROCEEDINGS.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.	Deft. Pd. $ 194.35 " " $
Sep.	10	Complaint filed and summons issued		
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed		
"	"	Writ of attachment issued.		
"	11	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township, on Sept. 11th 1902.		
"	16	Defendant files a general demurrer orally		
"	17	Plaintiff files amended complaint.		
"	22	Defendant files a general demurrer		
"	25	Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for Sept. 30th 1902 at 2 o'clock P. M.		
"	30	At the time set plaintiff in court and after waiting for more than one hour thereafter and the defendant failing to appear and defend his case it is ordered that the trial proceed in the absence of defendant it appearing to the court that defendant had due notice thereof — E. S. St. Clair is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. The matter is submitted and the court finds for plaintiff for $299.00 and costs		

Wherefore, Judgment is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 299.00 principal, $ — interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.50 Total Judgement $ 306.50

Done in open court this Sep. 30/1902.

J. H. Johnson, Justice of the Peace.

Oct.	3	Execution issued
"	4	Execution filed partially satisfied to wit in the sum of $192.80

OCT 4 1902

Received, $ 194.50

In Payment

Above Deposit of garnishee

Bernhard & Sutherland, Attys for Pltff

No. 2666.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Europian Brothers a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

Steve Majkovich, Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 275.50

M. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Burnhard & Sutherland
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Preston	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sep. 11		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 13		Summons returned filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Sept. 13th 1902.	
" 22		Defendant by his attorneys enters a general demurrer,	
" "		comes now the plaintiff by its attorney and waives notice of motion to release the attachment herein and consents that an order be made releasing the attachment upon filing a sufficient undertaking in the sum of $275.00	
" "		Defendant files undertaking for release of attachment in the sum of $275.00 and it is ordered that all property levied upon by virtue of the attachment issued herein be released and delivered to defendant.	
NOV. 30. 1904		Defendant files new undertaking, ~~and the~~ as a substitute for the undertaking filed herein on Sept 22 1902,	
		Nov. 4, 1904	
		It is hereby stipulated that judgment be entered for plaintiff for the sum of $125, and that the same be satisfied, it having been paid.	
		J. F. Bernhard Atty for Def't	
		M. B. Harris Atty for Plff.	

No. 2667.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. W. Hobson and A. G. Ehrmann partners doing business under the firm name and style of Ehrmann & Hobson, Plaintiff,

vs.

Joe Arkelian and Arkelian Bros., Defendant.

Action Claim & Delivery of Per. Prop.

Demand $ Val. of Prop. $11.00

Ehrmann & Hobson
Attorney for Plaintiff

T. Rauke
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ — Am Sr 5.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sep.	10		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant Joe Arkelian at this Township on Sep. 10th, 1902.	
"	13		Defendant Joe Arkelian appears personally and enters a general denial orally for defendants.	
Oct.	9		Trial set for Oct. 14th, 1902 at 10 A.M.	
"	14		Defendants demand a trial by jury and venire issued for fifteen jurors.	
"	"		Venire served returned & filed.	
"	"		At the time set parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. R. A. Hamilton, W. C. Dow, M. L. Williams, G. L. Babcock, S. Flemming, W. T. Oden, A. H. Mitt, W. S. Townsend, R. L. Barr, Chas. Richter, M. Macsen and M. Rupkoro are sworn as jurors to try the case, A. G. Ehrmann, Enos Bowen, and Ray Burnham, are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiffs and Joe Arkelian, A. Corvian, Ben Arkelian, and K. Arkelian are sworn and testify in behalf defendants. The matter is argued and submitted to the jury who retire for deliberation in charge of a sworn officer and afterward return into Court with the following verdict. "In the above entitled case we the jury find for the plaintiffs and against the defendants for the recovery of possession of the 22 calibre Winchester Rifle, described in the complaint or $11.00 the value thereof in case delivery of said property cannot be had, (signed) M. L. Williams, foreman".	
"	"		Verdict filed.	

Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the defendants the possession of the 22 calibre Winchester Rifle

see page 104

No. 2668-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. J. Wade, Plaintiff

vs.

W. Mann, Defendant

Action on an o/c.

Demand $ 22.65

Attorney for Plaintiff: E. E. Shepard

Attorney for Defendant:

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sept 13		Complaint filed & Summons issued	
" 18		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Sept. 18th 1902	
" 22		Defendant by his attorney enters a general denial orally	
" 26		Defendant files amended answer & counterclaim	
" 30		Trial set for Oct. 6th 1902 at 9 A. M.	
" "		Subpoena issued in behalf of Defendant	
Oct 3		Subpoena served returned and filed.	

No. 2669.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs. A. R. Hilton, Plaintiff

vs.

Charles White, Defendant

Action upon an a/c for house rent

Demand $ 31.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $52.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Madary Mill Deft. Pd. $12.25 10/3-'02. Pd. Pltff. $12.25 " " " " $50.00
Sep. 13		Complaint filed and summons issued
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" "		Writ of attachment issued.
" 20		Up

Ehrmann v. Hobson vs Joe Arkelian et al } Art from page 102

described in the complaint on $18.00 the value thereof in case delivery of said property cannot be had together with costs herein taxed at $34.25

Done in open court this OCT 14 1902

J. C. S. Foster
Justice of the Peace

1902 Oct. 20		Notice of appeal filed
" "		Undertaking on appeal filed

Justice Fees	$5.00
Constable "	$3.25
~~Sheriff's~~ Jury fee	$24.00
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees 2	$2.00
	$34.25

No. 2670

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Armstrong, Plaintiff

vs.

Chas. H. Wunderlick, Defendant

Action upon Promi. Note

Demand $ 220.00 & Int. & Atty fee

Frank Clark, Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. Cosgrave, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 5.00 " " $ ..... PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ ..... " " $ .....
Sep.	16	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
"	"	Writ of attachment issued
"	"	Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Sept. 16th - 1902
"	20	Defendant files answer
"	23	Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for Sep. 30/02 at 10 A.M.
"	29	Subpoena issued in behalf of defendant
"	30	At the time set parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. Plaintiff offers and files as evidence the original note sued upon. Plaintiff ests. Chas. H. Wonderlick and W. H. Hudson are sworn and testify in behalf of Defendant and Jas Armstrong, and Robt. Markwood are sworn and testify in behalf of Plaintiff. The matter is argued and submitted and the matter is taken under advisement pending the filing of briefs.
Oct.	14	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $220.00 and interest and costs.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $220.00 principal, $5.75 interest, $20.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 8.00 Total Judgement $299.75

Done in open court this OCT 14 1902

R. S. Soper, Justice of the Peace.

"	17	Defendant files notice of appeal
"	"	Defendant files undertaking on appeal

No. 2671

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Kruger Lumber Company a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

C. B. Jeffries, Defendant

Action upon Open Note

Demand $156.00 + Int

D. L. Carter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sept.	16		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"		Writ of attachment issued	
Nov.	12		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at the County of Fresno on Sept. 17th 1902.	
"	"		Writ of attachment returned & filed void	
"	15		Upon motion of D. L. Carter attorney for plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

F. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2672.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frederick Bopp, Plaintiff

vs.

Fillip Schwengell and Mary Schwengell his wife Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 254.45 & Int

W. J. Timmin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902

Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00
" " $ 2.50

PROCEEDINGS

11/17 Pd. by Dunnas $5.
12/2 " " " " " $ 1.50

Deft. Pd. $ 7.65

Sep. 16 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued
" " Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendants at this Township on Sep. 16th 1902

SEP 23 1902

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendants Lunin personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of ....., State of California, on the 16th day of Sept 1902 and that said defendants have not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Fillip Schwengell and Mary Schwengell defendants be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants the sum of $ 254.45 principal, $ 11.87 interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.75 Total Judgement $ 273.07

Done in open court this SEP 23 1902

H. S. Johnson
Justice of the Peace.

Nov. 8/02 Accrued costs.

Sep. 24 Abstract of Judgment issued
Oct. 6 Execution issued
" 11 Execution returned & filed unsatisfied
Nov. 5 Execution issued
" 8 Upon motion of plaintiff by his atty above judgment is redited $250.00
Dec. 1 Constables accrued costs to date $2.75

JUL 30 1904

Full satisfaction of the above judgment is hereby admitted

Miley J. Timmin
Attorney for Plaintiff

No. 2673.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. W. Donald, Plaintiff

vs.

J. D. Collins, Defendant

Action: Claim & Delivery of Per Property

Demand: Value $150.00 & $50.00 Damage

C. C. Morrison & Sanders & Burns, Attorney for Plaintiff

M. K. Harris, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 7.00 " " $ 3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sep.	17	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	22	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Sept. 19th 1902.	
"	22	Defendant files demurrer.	
Dec.	15	Defendant enters a general denial orally.	
"	23	Defendant files amended answer.	
"	"	Trial set for Dec. 27th 1902 at 2 P. M.	
"	27	At the time set parties in court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. J. W. Donald, B. A. Maxson, J. Hockenberry and E. K. Belfills are sworn and testify in behalf the plaintiff and B. A. Maxson, T. L. Maloney, A. H. McNally, J. Hockenberry and B. C. Wells are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and taken under advisement.	
1903 Jan	3	The Court this day finds as follows viz: That plaintiff's special interest in the property described in the pleadings is of the value of $50.00 and that plaintiff is entitled to the possession of said property or the value of his special interest therein to wit: the sum of $50.00 and costs. Wherefore judgment is hereinbefore and herein and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the possession of 1 Box containing about 60 small glass bottles of assorted stones, 1 cabinet containing watch materials 1 small box containing semi-precious stones & jewelry or the sum of $50.00 the value of plaintiff's special interest therein in case delivery of said property cannot be had together with costs taxed herein at $7.00	

Done in open court this JAN 3 1903

S. A. Cohen, Justice of the Peace

Service Summons (2) $2.00 Witness Fees $ $ Mileage $ $ Serving Summons $ $ Carrying Writ $ $ 5.00

This judgment is satisfied in full in my office, this 14th day of February 1903. James A. Burns, Atty. for Pltff.

No. 2674

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. D. Tupper, Plaintiff

vs.

H. Agadian, Defendant

Action on an o/c.

Demand $ 125.85

W. D. Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sept.	23	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	24	Summons returned filed showing personal service upon defendant at this township on Sept 23 - 1902.	

No. 2675

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John J. Olsen, Plaintiff
vs.
Boyer & Bugg, Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 84 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sep. 24		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 26		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at 2nd Township Fresno County on Sept 24th 1902	

No. 2676.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John J. Kern, Plaintiff

vs.

D. Boger, Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $230 64

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2 75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sep. 24		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 26		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at 2nd Township County of Fresno, on Sept 24th 1902,	

No. 2677.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. H. Robinson, Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Wood, Defendant

Action upon Promissory note

Demand $ 217.00

W. J. Timmis, Attorney for Plaintiff

M. A. Harris, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sept	25	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	27	Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this township on Sept 27th 1902	
	30	Defendant files answer	
1903 Mar.	26	Defendant this day confesses Judgment for $50.00 note of April 1-1898, Pleads Statute of limitation on two other, $50.00 notes,	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $50.00 principal. $25.00 interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $6.00 Total Judgement $81.00

G. W. Smith, Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this MAR 27 1903

" 27 Ex. issued.

50
25
6
81

Justice Fees	$4.00
Constable "	$2.00
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	6.00

Commission Fees $2.00

No. 2678

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. A. Bunham — Plaintiff

vs.

Clarence Updyke — Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 225.00 & Int

Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sep. 26		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2679.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. F. Glasgow, Plaintiff
vs.
J. H. Neill, Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $42.50 & Int

Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 7.60 Glasgow " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sep.	26	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2680.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. R. Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Fugate, Defendant

Action on o/c

Demand $ 3,45

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Question

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sept 27		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	28	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Sept. 27th 1902,	
JUL 24 1903		On motion of Plaintiff this action is hereby dismissed	

G W Smith,

Justice of the Peace of the Third Township, County of Fresno.

Justice of the Peace of the Third Township, County of Fresno.

No. 2681.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. R. Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

J. D. Day, Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 7 60

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sept. 27		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 28		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Tp. on Sep. 27/1902	
JUL 24 1903		On motion of plaintiff this action is hereby dismissed	

G. W. Smith.

Justice of the Peace of the Third Township, County of Fresno.

No. 2682.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Sam W. Barnes, Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. Irvine and H. H. Roff, Defendants

Action upon Promissory Note and on O/C

Demand $ 54.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Nov. 22/02 Pd. by Dunnas	Deft. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $

Sept 27 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued
Oct. 18 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant W. S. Irvine at the 2nd Township, Fresno County on Oct. 17th 1902 and upon defendant H. H. Roff at 2nd Township Fresno County on Oct. 3rd 1902.

Nov. 19 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant W. S. Irvine on Oct. 17th 1902 and upon H. H. Roff on Oct. 3/1902 personally, at and in the 2nd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, ~~on the ... day of ... 189~~... and that said defendants have not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 10 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that the default of said ——— defendants be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff... it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendants the sum of $ 54.00 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 14.00 total Judgement $ 68.00

J. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this NOV 19 1902

Nov. 20 Execution issued

Const at Clovis $ 7.00
" Dunnas 2.25

No. 2683

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. H. Pierson and F. W. Pierson, Plaintiffs

vs.

J. B. Tannahill and Annie Tannahill, his wife, Defendants

Action on an o/c

Demand $146.00 & Int

E. A. Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sept 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Oct. 6		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice	
		D. C. St John, Justice of the Peace.	

No. 2684

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. E. Wing, Plaintiff
vs.
W. R. Perry, Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 22.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 1.75 " " $ 1.00	PROCEEDINGS.	10/3-'02 Deft. Pd. $ 24.25 " " $
Sept 30		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
Oct 3		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Sept. 30th 1902.	
" 4		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is dismissed.	

S. A. St John
Justice of the Peace

OCT 4 1902
Received, $ 24.25
In full Payment
Above demand + costs
F. E. Wing
Atty.

No. 2685

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. R. Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Vanderberg, Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 8.60

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Question

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Sept	30		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"		Writ of attachment issued	
Oct.	2		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Sept 30th 1902.	
JUL 24 1903 "	11		On motion of plaintiff this action is hereby dismissed.	

G. W. Smith.

Justice of the Peace of the Third Township, County of Fresno.

No. 2686.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Isaac R. Ball, Plaintiff

vs.

Chin Yow Co. and Ah Quong, Defendants

Action upon an O/C

Demand $ 24.55

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Question

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 50.00 c " " $ 4.75 — PROCEEDINGS. — Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct.	3	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
"	"	Writ of attachment issued
"	"	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied
"	11	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant Ah Quong whose true name is Ah Kong at this Township on Oct. 3rd 1902.

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Ah Quong alias Ah Kong personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 3rd day of Oct. 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor (omit: ..... days) has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Ah Quong alias Ah Kong defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant Ah Kong the sum of $ 24.55 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 31.80

Done in open court this OCT 13 1902

[signature] Justice of the Peace.

Oct.	13	Execution issued
"	"	Execution filed satisfied.

OCT 13 1902
Received, $ 50.00
In ..... Payment
Above Deposit as undertaking
Isaac Ball
Plaintiff

OCT 13 1902
Received, $ 31.80
In full Payment
Above Judgment
Isaac Ball
Plaintiff

No. 2687

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. C. Willoughby, Plaintiff

vs.

The Fresno Flume and Irrigation Company (a corporation) Defendant

Action for work & labor

Demand $ 141.74

A. C. Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Cory, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct.	3	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	3	Summons returned and filed showing	
"		personal service upon defendant at this Township on Oct. 3rd 1902.	
"	8	Defendant by its attorney enters a general demurrer orally.	
"	20	Upon motion of plaintiff by his attorney it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

J. C. Sohn
Justice of the Peace

No. 2688

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. H. Depp, Plaintiff

vs.

The Fresno Flume and Irrigation Company (a corporation) Defendant

Action for work & labor

Demand $ 230.87

A. C. Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Cory, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct.	3	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Oct. 3rd 1902.	
"	8	Defendant by its attorney moves a general demurrer orally.	
"	20	Upon motion of plaintiff by his attorney it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

B. C. St John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2689

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George Zong, Plaintiff
vs.
Golden State Packing Co. and T. E. Langley, Defendants

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $ 234.64

W. A. Conn
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 50.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct.	4	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	8	Upon motion of Plaintiff & Plaintiff it is ordered that the above entitled case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

J. G. John
Justice of the Peace

Received, $ 50.00 Payment
In deposit as bond
Above
W. A. Conn
Atty for Pltff.

No. 2690.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. F. Glasgow, Plaintiff

vs.

S. Saito, Defendant

Action upon an o/c.

Demand $ 101.25

Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct.	4	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2691.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Samuel Hartley, Plaintiff

vs.

T. E. Langley, C. D. Miller, & E. R. Mitchell Jr. copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Golden State Packing Co., Defendants.

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 227.19

H. W. Johnston, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 50.00 Rec'd to Johnston " " $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct.	6	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	13	Upon motion of plaintiff by his attorney it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	

S. C. Stephens
Justice of the Peace

OCT 13 1902
Received, $ 50.—
In Payment
Above Attach Bond
H. W. Johnston
Atty for Plaff—

No. 2692

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Valley Lumber Company a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

G. C. Brackett — Defendant

Action upon Promissory note

Demand $ 109.11 + Int + Atty fee

M. K. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 4.50 " " $ .50 PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct.	10	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	13	Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at ~~this~~ 2nd Township Fresno County on Oct. 11th 1902.
"	22	It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof, together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant G. C. Brackett personally, at and in the 2nd Township, County of Fresno State of California, on the 11th day of October 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 10 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said G. C. Brackett defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 109.11 principal, $ 42.73 interest, $ 15.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.10 Total Judgement $ 171.84 Done in open court this OCT 22 1902 A. C. St. John Justice of the Peace.
"	22	Abstract of Judgment issued
SEP. 24. 1904		Abstract issued

No. 2693.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. B. Parker, Plaintiff

vs.

C. E. Baker, Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 7.55

D. L. Carter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS. Oct. 17. Dumas for Deft. Pd. $ 9.55 " " $
Oct. 11		Complaint filed and summons issued
" "		Affidavit & undertaking for attachment filed
" "		Writ of attachment issued
" 16		Upon motion of plaintiff the above case is dismissed

OCT 16 1902
Received, $ 9.55
In full Payment
Above demand & costs
R. B. Parker
Pltff

S. C. S. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2694.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. V. Christy and Marie Christy, Plaintiff

vs.

B. C. Wells and Lola E. Wells, his wife, Defendant

Action: Claim and delivery of personal property

Demand $ the property or $30. & Dam. $50

H. V. Christy
E. A. Williams Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. B. Graham
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct.	14	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	~~Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed~~	
"	"	~~Writ of attachment issued~~	
"	20	Defendants by their attorney enter a general denial orally—	
"	"	Summons filed showing service	
Nov.	3	Trial set for Nov. 7th 1902 at 10 A. M.	
"	7	Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

D. C. St John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2695

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. W. Risley, Plaintiff

vs.

A. G. Murray, Miss Eberhard and John Doe, Defendants

Action for Rent

Demand $ 70.00

F. E. Cook, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 14		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" "		Summons returned filed showing personal service upon defendants at this Township on Oct. 14th, 1902.	

No. 2696

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George Panagiotarus, Plaintiff
vs.
James Stamatapulos, Defendant

Action upon an o/c,

Demand $ 75 00

E. A. Williams
Attorney for Plaintiff

Briggs & Barbour
Attorneys for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 16		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 20		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied —	
" 30		Comes now defendant with his attorneys and enters a general denial orally	

No. 2697

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Nis Eskildsen, Plaintiff

vs.

J. P. Rasmussen, Defendant

Action upon Promissory notes

Demand $ 192.65 & Int. & Atty fee

H. M. Johnston
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 50.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct.	16	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit for attachment filed	
"	"	Plaintiff deposits $50.00 U. S. Gold Coin in lieu of undertaking on attachment	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2698-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George Panagiotaros, Plaintiff

vs.

Gust Stamatapulos, Defendant

Action

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 3.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 16		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 30		Comes now defendant with his attorneys and enters a general denial orally-	

No. 2699

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. A. Jack, Plaintiff

vs.

Ennergian Brothers, Defendant

Action: a/c

Demand $ 6 62

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 9 62 " " $
Oct. 17		Complaint filed & summons issued	
" 20		Upon motion of plaintiff above case is dismissed	
		D. C. McSwain Justice of the Peace	
" "		Summons filed.	

No. 2700

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. Bielenberg, Plaintiff

vs.

R. R. Fowler, Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 20 00

J. J. Daly, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 17		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 21		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at the County of Madera County State of California on Oct. 20th 1902.	

No. 2701

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. A. Bostick
Plaintiff

vs.

Edwin Erwin
Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 3 45

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 18	Complaint filed		

No. 2702.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Remy, Plaintiff

vs.

Otto Berman, Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 110

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. L. Carter

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ Pd " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 30		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at the City and County of San Francisco, State of California on October 25th 1902.	
Nov. 13		Defendant enters a general demurrer orally upon the ground that the complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.	
Dec –		Dismissed upon motion of plaintiff	

J. W. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2703

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. Young, Plaintiff

vs.

Walter Childers, Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 10.40

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.		Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct.	21	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
"	"	Writ of attachment issued
	24	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied
1903 Jan	19	Defendant files written request to enter judgment as prayed for in plaintiffs complaint.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 10.40 principal. $ — interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.25 Total Judgement $ 16.65

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Jan 19 Abstract of Judgement issued.

Justice	2.75
Sheriff	1.25
Clerk	.25
	4.25

No. 27044

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. H. Welsh, Plaintiff

vs.

Adam Oretzer, Defendant

Action on assigned a/c

Demand $10.00

H H Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
		due St John 2.75	
Oct. 22		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

75
25
25
25

No. 2705-

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. R. Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Fries, Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 30 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902,	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct.	22	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	25	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	
Nov.	15	Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed	

J. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2706.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ed. Hertweck, Plaintiff

vs.

Roy A. Waite and W. A. Martin, Defendants

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 12.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ 2.00 50	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Oct. 24 Complaint filed and summons issued

" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed

" " Writ of attachment issued

1903 Feb. 26 Alias Summons issued.

Mar. 3 Summons returned and filed, showing personal service on Defendant, R. A. Wait, at San Bernardino County, by the Sheriff, on Feb. 28-1903.

28 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Roy A. Wait personally, at and in the —— Township, County of San Bernardino, State of California, on the 28 day of Feb. 1903 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 20 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Roy A. Wait defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $12.00 principal, $1.50 interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 8.50 Total Judgement $ 22.00

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this 28 day of March, 1903.

Justice Fees	$4.75
Constable "	$3.75
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	8.50

APR 23 1903 Ex. issued.

JUN 23 1903 Ex. returned and filed.

AUG 10 1903 Abstract of Judgement issued.

No. 2707.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. R. Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

J. J. Weaver, Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 32.90

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $ 2.50	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 25		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 29		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Oct. 29th. 1902.	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant J. J. Weaver personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 29th day of Oct. 1902 and that said defendant had not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said J. J. Weaver defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 32.90 principal. $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 40.15

Done in open court this NOV 8 1902

Justice of the Peace.

Nov. 8		Execution issued.
MAY 9 1903		Execution issued.

No. 2708

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Valley Lumber Company, a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Hosler, Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 75.30

M. K. Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ chgd	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 25		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
1903 Jan 2		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at the County of Mendocino, State of California on December 12th 1902.	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant J. H. Hosler personally, at and in the ——— Township, County of Mendocino, State of California, on the 12th day of December 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 20 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said J. H. Hosler defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 75.30 principal, $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 18.80 Total Judgement $ 94.10

F. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this JAN 3 1903

1903 Jan 5 Mem. of costs filed.

Justice Fees	4.75
Constable "	$
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

No. 2709

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. R. Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

A. H. Bixel and Mrs. A. H. Bixel, Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 89.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct.	28	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	28	Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon A. H. Bixel at this Township on Oct. 28th 1902	
1903 Feb.	" 26	Writ of attachment returned and filed herein	

it appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendants A H Bixel and Mrs. A H Bixel personally, at and in the 3d Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 28 day of Oct 1902 and that said defendants have not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said A H Bixel and Mrs. A H Bixel defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for,

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $41.65 principal, $ — interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $7.25 Total Judgement $48.90

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this FEB 26 1903

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$ 2.50
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

7.25

41.65
7.25
48.90
2.50
46.40 Bal

Feb. 26 Execution issued.
" 28 " returned and filed.

SEP 25 1905 Satisfied

No. 2710

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs. John Grummett and John Grummett (her husband) Plaintiff

vs.

H. B. Flowers Defendant

Action for labor

Demand $ 38 90

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 43.15 " " $

AUG 4 1903 Defendant pay in - due Sep.

Oct. 28 Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued
MAY 14 1903 Alias summons issued
Aug. 29 On motion of Plaintiff this action is hereby dismissed

C. W. Smith
J. P.

Done in open court
this Aug. 29. 1903

Justice Fees $ 2.15
Constable " $ 1.50
Sheriff's " $
Serving Summons $
Witness Fees $

J W Dumas

AUG 29 1903

Received, $ 38 90
in full Payment
Above account
John Grummett

No. 2711.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. R. Fanning Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Degan Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 23.60

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.70 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct 28		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2712.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. B. Jeffries, Plaintiff
vs.
Stuart Hall, Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note

Demand $ 14.00 & atty fee

Thompson & Ruiz
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ due $19 c 25	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 28		Complaint filed and summons issued.	
" " 2		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" " 2		Writ of attachment issued	
Nov. 15		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at the County of Los Angeles State of California on Nov. 13th-1902.	
Dec. 12		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant Stuart Hall personally, at and in the ——— Township, County of Los Angeles State of California, on the 13th day of November 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit 20 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said Stuart Hall defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 14.00 principal. $ ——— interest, $ 15.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 7.25 Total Judgement $ 36.25	

S. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this DEC 18 1902

No. 2713.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

44.95
14.55
30.40
2.75
3
$36.15

Peter Dermer, Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Leader Jr., Defendant

Action on an o/c

Demand $ 44.95

30.40
2.75
3
36.15

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $ 3.00	PROCEEDINGS. By J. W. Lemas.	Deft. Pd. $14.55 " " $
Oct.	27	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	31	Defendant appears personally and enters a general denial orally.	
"	"	Summons returned & filed served	
APR 21 1903		Subpoena issued. Trial set for 28-April-1903. at 10 A.m.	
APR 24 1903		Subpoena returned and filed.	
April	28	At time set all parties in court and trial proceeds. P. Dermer sworn and testifies for Plaintiff. P. Leader Jr. sworn and testifies for Defendant; case submitted. Taken under advisement	
MAY 5	1903	The court this day find for the Plaintiff.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 30.40 principal. $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 5.75 Total Judgement $ 36.15

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

MAY 6 1903 Ex. issued.

Justice Fees	$ 5.75
Constable "	$
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

JAN 31 1903

Received, $14.55

In part Payment of

Above demand.

Jacob Rohter Received $14.55

Louis F Brunn

St. John Fees 2.75

Lemas 16.50

No. 2714

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

63.35
11.75
51.60
2.75
3
$57.35

Action upon an O/C

Demand $ 63.00

Peter Dermer, Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Leader Sr. and Maggie Leader, Defendants

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE		PROCEEDINGS.	
1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.75 " " $3.00	By J.W. Dumas By G. Sherman	Deft. Pd. $11.75 " " $14.50

JUN 12 1903

Oct.	28	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
"	"	Writ of attachment issued
"	31	Defendants appear personally and enter a general denial orally.
"	"	Summons served returned & filed
APR 21 1903		Subpeona issued. Trial set for 28 April 1903 at 10 a.m.
APR 24 1903		Subpeona returned and filed. Impaneled of #27138.148
April	28	At time of trial all parties in court, and trial proceeds.
		P. Dermer sworn and testifies for plaintiff.
		P. Leader Sr. " " " " " defendants.
		Cause submitted.
MAY 5 1903		The Court this day finds for Plaintiff.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $51.60 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $5.75 Total Judgement $57.35

G. W. Smith.
Justice of the Peace.

MAY 6 1903 Ex. issued.

Justice Fees	$5.75
Constable "	$3.00
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

JUN 12 1903
J. W. Dumas

12.55
11.75
26.30

JAN 31 1903

Received, $11.75
In full Payment
Above demand.
Jacob Rechter
by Louis J. Barner

St. John Fees 2.75
Dumas 2.50

No. 2715

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Peter Dirmer
Plaintiff

vs.

Conrad Frisch
Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 20.55

Attorney for Plaintiff
E. A. Williams
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 28		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 31		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this township on Oct. 31st 1902 —	
Nov. 5		Defendant enters a general demurrer orally,	

No. 2716.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Dorsey Robinson Company (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Dumas Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 60 60

Jas. Gallagher Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ due $1 & cost	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Nov. 14		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Oct. 31st 1902.	
" 14		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant J. W. Dumas personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 31st day of October 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said J. W. Dumas defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 60 60 principal, $ — interest, $ — Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ 4 75 Total Judgement $	
1903. May 29		G. W. Smith Justice of the Peace.	

60 60
4 75
65 35

Justice Fees	$4 00
Constable "	$ 75
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

No. 2717.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. H. Redden, Plaintiff

vs.

M. M. Parsons, Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note & &c

Demand $ 86.10 & Int & Atty fee

L. B. Haynhurst, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
Nov. 14		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Oct. 30th. 1902.	
" "		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant M. M. Parsons personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 30th day of October 1902 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said M. M. Parsons defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 66.10 principal, $ 1.27 interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 8.75 and Judgement $	

D. C. St. John, Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this NOV 15 1902

Nov. 15 Execution issued

1903 Jan. 10 Credit on above Judgement $40.00 received by Atty for Plaintiff.

Fees 1.00
2 levies 3.00

No. 2718.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. R. Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Neil, Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 4.90

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Oct. 31		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
JUL 24 1903		On motion of plaintiff this action is hereby dismissed	

G. W. Smith.

Justice of the Peace of the Third Township, County of Fresno.

No. 2719.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank Silva, Plaintiff

vs.

The Bank of California (a corporation), Defendant

Action in Tort

Demand $265

H. Brickley, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2 00 " " $ 1 00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	6	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	12	Defendant files answer	
"	20	It appearing to the court from the verified answer of the defendant herein that the determination of this action will necessarily involve the question of title and possession to real property. I therefore suspend all proceedings in said action, and it is ordered that the same be transferred to the Superior Court of Fresno County P. C. St. John Justice of the Peace	
"	24	Papers delivered to Henry Brickly.	

No. 2720

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno Land Company a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

E. W. Scleray, Defendant

Action upon contract

Demand $ 150.00

Geo. Cosgrave, Attorney for Plaintiff

M. B. Harris, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	10	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	22	Defendant files demurrer.	
"	24	Defendant files answer.	
"	28	Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for Dec. 1/02 - 10 A.M.	
Dec.	1	At the time set parties in Court announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. W. M. McDaniel is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. Written agreement filed as evidence also letters &c. between the parties which are returned to plaintiff. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $150.00 and costs.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 150.00 principal, $ —— interest, $ —— Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.00 Total Judgement $ 156.00

J. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this DEC 1 1902

Dec.	2	Abstract of Judgment issued	50¢ Ad
"	10	Execution issued.	
"	"	Affidavit for order in Supplementary proceedings filed	
"	"	Order issued that E. W. Scleray and Pauline Scleray appear and answer as to property of judgment debtor on Jan 3rd '02 at 10 A.M.	

No. 2721

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Porterus, Plaintiff

vs.

M. M. Parsons, Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 34.30

W. T. Porter, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
		Burnham	
Nov.	11	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	26	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Nov. 26th, 1902.	
1903 Jan.	14	Writ of Attachment returned.	

No. 2722.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Porteous
Plaintiff

vs.

A. Schwaberland
Defendant

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 82.50

W. T. Porteous.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ chgd " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	11	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2723.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. B. Parker, Plaintiff

vs.

E. W. Browning, Defendant

Action upon a/c

Demand $ 29.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 11		Complaint filed & summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

No. 2724.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. H. Bradley — Plaintiff

vs.

S. A. Gagosian and B. S. Saroukian — Defendants

Action upon promissory note

Demand $263.76, Int. & atty fee

J. C. Traber — Attorney for Plaintiff

A. W. Drew — Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	11	Complaint filed and summons issued.	
"	13	Defendants enter a general demurrer orally.	
Dec	10	Defendants file answer	
JUN 9 1903		Trial set for June 23rd 1903.	
JUN 23 1903		Subpoena issued.	
" " "		At time set all parties in court. W. H. Bradley testifies on the part of Plaintiff. (Sworn) case dismissed against as to B. S. Saroukian at request of Plaintiffs Attorney. C. Bradley sworn and testifies on the hand of of Plaintiff S. A. Gargossian sworn and testifies on the part of defendant, C. Gains sworn & testifies on the part of defendant. June 25-1903 at 10 A.M. set to argue the case.	
June	25	At time set for arguing case Attorneys met and the J.P. being in the county, they agree to argue case at 9 A.M. on June 26-1903.	
June	26	At time set to argue case Attorneys for both parties in court. case argued and submitted.	
July AUG 14 1903	1	Mem. of cost, Subpoena and proof of service filed. The court finds this day for the Plaintiff. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $108.35 principal, $11.90 interest, $25.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $10.85 Total Judgement $145.20 G. W. Smith Justice of the Peace.	
July	1	Mem. of cost filed.	
AUG 18 1903		Ex. issued.	
SEP 11 1903		Notice filed	
SEP 11 1903		Undertaking on Appeal filed.	

Justice Fees $
Constable " $
Sheriff's " $
Serving Summons $
Witness Fees $

Justice Fees $5.00
Constable " $
Sheriff's " $
Serving Summons $
Witness Fees $

No. 2725.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. R. Hagopian, Plaintiff
vs.
John Connor, Defendant

Action upon contract

Demand $200.00

M B Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas. Gallagher, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	11	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	12	Defendant files demurrer	
"	"	Defendant files answer.	
"	"	Parties in court and plaintiff waives notice of application by defendant for release of attachment, and it appearing to the Court that defendant has appeared herein it is ordered that the attachment herein be discharged upon the defendant filing and undertaking in the sum of $300.00 in accordance with sections 554 + 555 of the C.C.P.	
"	12	Defendant files undertaking in the sum of $300. in accordance with the Court's order and it is ordered that the property of defendant levied upon by virtue of the writ of attachment issued herein be and the same is hereby released from the operation of said writ.	
1903	"	Summons returned & filed served.	
Jan.	6	Writ of attachment returned and filed, showing levy.	

No. 2726

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Van Allen Murray, Plaintiff

vs.

A. M. Jones, Defendant

Action for wages

Demand $ 148.00

L. L. Cory, Attorney for Plaintiff

Smith & Estrander, Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
1902			
Nov. 12		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 22		Defendant files demurrer.	
" "		Defendant files demand for itemized statement.	
" 26		Demurrer submitted and overruled and defendant given two days in which to answer	
" 25		Plaintiff files bill of particulars.	
" 28		Defendant files answer.	

No. 2727

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. Thorwaldson, Plaintiff

vs.

Barney Martin, Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 15.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1907	Pltf. Pd. $ 1.15 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 13		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 18		Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed	
		F. C. St. John, Justice of the Peace	

No. 2728

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. H. Borchers, Plaintiff

vs.

W. B. Garner, Defendant

Action upon Promi. Note

Demand $ 248.00

E. S. Van Meter, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $ 1.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
1902			
Nov. 15	Complaint filed.		

No. 2729.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joshua Hendy Machine Works (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Neils Defendant

Action for damages for failure to ~~appear~~ obey subpoena

Demand $

M. K. Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

B. L. Carter Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ due St J. 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	15	Complaint filed and summons issued	
Dec.	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Dec. 8th 1902.	
"	13	Defendant files demurrer.	
"	15	Now comes M.K. Harris, Atty. for Plaintiff, and asks leave to file an amended complaint herein, which permission is hereby granted.	
1903 Jan	20	Stipulation filed.	

Dismiss - S

No. 2790

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ann Adams
Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Pond
Defendant

Action upon Judgment

Demand $166.82 & Cost

M. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
	Ans Sub. 2.00		
Nov. 15		Complaint filed	

Joshua Henly
McClure, Mills
&
Neviles

No. 2729.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joshua Hendy Machine Works (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Wells Defendant

Action for damages for failure to ~~appear~~ obey subpoena

Demand $

M. K. Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

B. L. Carter Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
		Due St J. 2.00	
Nov.	15	Complaint filed and summons issued	
Dec.	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Dec. 8th 1902.	
"	13	Defendant files demurrer.	
"	15	Now comes M.K. Harris, Atty. for Plaintiff, and asks leave to file an amended complaint herein, which permission is hereby granted.	
1903 Jan	20	Stipulation filed.	

Dismiss - 2

No. 2790

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ann Adams, Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Pond, Defendant

Action upon Judgment

Demand $166.82 & Cost

M. B. Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
Nov. 15		Complaint filed	

Joshua Hendy Machine Works
vs
W. A. Lewis

7/28/03 The time allowed by this Court for amending the Complaint herein having expired and the Plff having failed and declined to amend its Complaint ~~It is ordered~~ Now on motion of Sloan & Coates atty for defts it is

No. 2729.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joshua Hendy Machine Works (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Wells Defendant

Action for damages for failure to ~~appear~~ obey subpoena

Demand $

M. K. Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

B. L. Carter Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ due Sr J. 2.00 PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	15	Complaint filed and summons issued
Dec.	10	Summons returned and filed showing [illegible] defendant at this
"	13	[illegible]
"	15	[illegible] like to [illegible] mission is
1903 Jan	20	[illegible]

No. 2790

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ann Adams
Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Pond
Defendant

Action upon Judgment

Demand $166.82 & Cost

M. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
		Dec 5 J. 2.00	
Nov. 15		Complaint filed	

Ordered that the defendant appear pro se & that case is hereby entered and judgt is hereby ordered and rendered in favor of deft for

Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that pltff take nothing by this action and that deft do have and recover of and from the pltff his costs & disbs

No. 2729.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joshua Hendy Machine Works (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Wells Defendant

Action for damages for failure to ~~appear~~ obey subpoena

Demand $

M. K. Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

B. L. Carter Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ — Dec 8 J. 200 PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	15	Complaint filed and summons issued	
Dec.	10	Summons returned and filed showing [illegible] now defendant at this	
"	13	[illegible]	
"	15	[illegible] he like to [illegible] mission is	
1903 Jan	20	[illegible]	

No. 2790

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ann Adams
Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Ford
Defendant

Action upon Judgment

Demand $166.82 & Cost

W. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
Nov. 15		Complaint filed	

Herein fixed at 8 A.M.
Dated July 28th 1903

Justice Pulsifer
By Fumbess

No. 2729.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joshua Hendy Machine Works (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Nevils Defendant

Action for damages for failure to ~~appear~~ obey subpoena

Demand $

M. K. Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

B. L. Carter Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
	due Sr J. 2.00		
Nov. 15		[illegible] (summons) issued	
Dec. 16		[illegible] serving	
		at this	
" 15			
" 15		[illegible] to	
		[illegible] mission is	
1903 Jan 20			

Joshua Hendy
Machine Works
v
Nevils

No. 2730

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ann Adams
Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Pond
Defendant

Action upon Judgment

Demand $166.82 & Cost

W. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
		Ans Sub. 2.00	
Nov. 15		Complaint filed	

No. 2729.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joshua Hendy Machine Works (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Wells Defendant

Action for damages for failure to ~~appear~~ obey subpoena

Demand $

M. K. Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

B. L. Carter Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ due Sr J. 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	15	Complaint filed and summons issued	
Dec.	10	Summons returned [illegible] ed showing personal se[illegible] nt at this Township o[illegible]	
"	13	Defendan[illegible]	
"	15	Now comes M.K. H[illegible] s. asks leave to file an amended [illegible] permission is hereby granted.	
1903 Jan	20	Stipulation fil[illegible]	

Dismiss - 2

No. 2790

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ann Adams
Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Ford
Defendant

Action upon Judgment

Demand $ 166.82 & Cost

W. B. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1902	" " $		" " $
		Ans Sub. 2.00	
Nov. 15		Complaint filed	

No. 2731

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Forsyth Fruit Raisin Company, a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

J. E. Anderson and L. C. Anderson, Defendants

Action upon breach of contract

Demand $125.00

L. L. Cory, Attorney for Plaintiff

Bernhard v. Sutterland, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	17		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"		Writ of attachment issued	
"	18		Writ of attachment returned and filed herein	
"	29		Defendants by their attorneys enter a general demurrer orally.	
Dec.	30		Now comes plaintiff by its attorney and upon his motion it is ordered that this action be and the same is hereby dismissed.	

J. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2732.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

August Bopp, Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Karle, George H. Leader and A. Lung, Defendant

Action upon Brown note

Demand $200.00 + Int + Atty fee

Brown & Freeman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.50 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	19		Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	22		Summons returned filed showing service upon defendant at this Township on Nov. 20th 1902	
"	"		Upon motion of plaintiff by G. C. Freeman it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	

J. C. St John
Justice of the Peace

No. 2738.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. D. Davidson and J. L. Maupin, Plaintiffs

vs.

L. A. Barnhart, Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 75.00

M. K. Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 20		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Dec. 11		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at 5th Township County of Fresno, State of California on Dec. 10th 1902	

No. 2734

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs. A. E. Graham, Plaintiff

vs.

R. A. Wait, Defendant

Action for board &c.

Demand $ 27.60

E. Hertenck, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ 2.00 50.	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 21		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
MAR 30 1903		Summons returned and filed showing personal service on defendant at San Bernardino County Cal. on 28th day of March, 1903.	
APR 20 1903		It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant R. A. Wait ..... personally, at and in the ..... Township, County of San Bernardino, ..., State of California, on the 28 day of March 1903 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 20 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said R. A. Wait ..... defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 27.60 principal. $ — interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 9.45 Total Judgement $ 37.05.	

Done in open court this APR 20 1903

G. W. Smith, Justice of the Peace.

Justice Fees	$4.75
Constable "	$4.70
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	9.45

APR 23 1903 Ex. issued.

JUN 23 1903 Ex. returned and filed.

AUG 10 1903 Abstract of Judgement issued.

No. 2735

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. D. Cole, Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Bollinger, Defendant

Action upon Prom. Note.

Demand $92.85 & Int. & atty fee.

J. C. Traber
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. B. Harris
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov. 25		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Dec. 13		Defendant files demurrer.	
" 17		Parties in court demurrer submitted and overruled and defendant given three days to file answer	

No. 2736

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. G. Uridge
Plaintiff

vs.

Porter Brothers Company
(a corporation)
Defendant

Action Damages for breach of contract

Demand $ 258.38

F. H. Stark
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. H. Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	28	Complaint filed and summons issued	
Dec.	27	Defendant by its attorney enters a general denial orally	
1903 Feb	3	At request of Plaintiff's Atty. F. E. Cook, this case is hereby dismissed.	

G. W. Smith.
Justice of the Peace.

Dismissed.

No. 2737

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. G. Uridge, Plaintiff
vs.
Porter Brothers Company a corporation, Defendant

Action damages for breach of contract

Demand $ 299.00

F. H. Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

H H Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 7.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	28	Complaint filed & summons issued.	
Dec.	27	Defendant by its attorney enters a general denial orally.	
1903 Feb.	3	At request of Plaintiffs, F. E. Crooks, this case is dismissed.	

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Dismissed.

No. 2738,

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. G. Uridge, Plaintiff

vs.

Porter Brothers Company (a corporation), Defendant

Action

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1	" " $		" " $

No. 2739.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fred Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

M. L. Decker, & Fresno Brick and Tile Company a corporation and W. H. Dillston, constable, Township County of Fresno, State of California, Defendants.

Action Claim & delivery of personal property

Demand $ Val. of property $75.00

Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. H. Welsh & G. L. Warlow
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 4.50 PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Nov.	29	Complaint filed and summons issued
Dec.	3	Summons returned and filed, showing personal service upon all defendants at this township on Dec. 1st 1902
	6	Defendants file answer
1903 Jan.	29	Defendant files amended answer.
"	31	Trial set for this day. At time of trial all parties in court, and trial proceeds. Witnesses sworn. E. R. Riggins testifies in behalf of Plaintiff. F. R. Fanning testifies in behalf of Plaintiff. Feb. 4-1903. Set for time to argue case.
Feb.	4	Case argued by Attys. Ewing & Warlow. Taken under advisement.
MAR 18 1903		The Court this day finds as follows: That the Plaintiff take nothing by reason of this action; and Court further finds that defendant Fresno Brick and Tile Company has a lien interest in the property described in the pleadings, and that the value of said interest is the sum of $21.50 and is entitled to the possession of said property. Wherefore it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the defendant Fresno Brick and Tile Company do have and recover of and from the plaintiff that certain personal property viz: 1 No. 10. Road Wagon, and 1 set of single harness, or the sum of $21.50 the value of said Fresno Brick and Tile Company's interest therein, in case delivery thereof cannot be had, to gether with their costs herein in taxed at $8.00

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this MAR 18 1903

April	2.	Filed Notice of Appeal.
"	"	Undertaking on Appeal.

Justice Fees $5.00
Constable " $3.00
Sheriff's " $
Serving Summons $
Witness Fees $

No. 2740.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Cecilie K. Jacobson, Plaintiff

vs.

Myra Salter Stitt and Robert G. Stitt, her husband, Defendants

Action for money paid out for use of defendant.

Demand $ 49.55

L. L. Cory, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec.	1	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	11	Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this case be dismissed	
		B. C. A. Solon, Justice of the Peace	

DEC 11 1902

Received, $ 53.05

In full Payment

Above Demand & costs

A. Hale

Agt. for Deff.

No. 2741

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Cross-Angsbury Lumber Company (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

G. W. Smith and A. K. Percival Co-partners doing business under the firm name and style of Emerald Oil Company Defendants.

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $184.25 & Int

J. O. Traber
Attorney for Plaintiff
A. L. Black
957 Broadway St. Oakland Cal

W. D. Crichton
Atty for G. W. Smith
Attorney for Defendant, Percival

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 3		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" 23		Defendant A. K. Percival files answer	
" 24		Defendant G. W. Smith by his attorney enters a general denial orally,	

No. 2742.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. F. Stouffer, Plaintiff
vs.
W. H. Pulston, Defendant

Action Conversion of Per. Property
Demand $ 130.00
J. C. Graber, Attorney for Plaintiff
M. K. Harris, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		PROCEEDINGS.	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $ $1150 - due St 3.00 / Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec.	5	Complaint filed & summons issued	
"	10	Defendant files answer	
"	11	Stipulation filed fixing trial for Dec. 13/02 at 10 A.M.	
"	13	Trial continued to Dec. 15th 1902 at 2 P.M.	
"	"	Summons filed	
"	15	At the time set parties in court and the trial proceeds. E. A. Walrond, C. F. Stouffer Oscar Hanson, M. C. Jones and F. Fredrickson are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and J. H. House, J. Dooley and W. H. Pulston are sworn and testify in behalf defendant. The matter is submitted and by the court taken under advisement.	
Dec.	31	The court this day finds for defendant. Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered and it is by this court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing by reason of his action herein and that defendant do have and recover of and from the plaintiff his costs herein taxed at $5.10	

Done in open court this DEC 31 1902

F. C. St. John
Justice of the Peace

1903 Jan.	30	Notice of Appeal filed.	
"	"	Undertaking filed.	

Justice Fees $3.00
Constable " ..... $
Sheriff's " ..... $
Serving Summons $1.00
Witness Fees $2.10
$5.10

Collect $4.50

No. 2743

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B. G. McDougall, Plaintiff

vs.

E. H. Graves Jr. Defendant

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $ 135.00

Smith & Estrander
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 1903 Feb	5	Complaint filed and summons issued
	25	On motion of Plaintiff's Attyp. this action is dismissed without prejudice to the commencement of another action for the same cause
		G. W. Smith Justice of the Peace,
		Dismissed

No. 2744.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lyons-Bolis Company a corporation, Plaintiff
vs.
C. E. McClaughan, Defendant

Action upon Promr. Note

Demand $60.00 & Int.

M. K. Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.75 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 10		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 12		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Dec. 10th 1902.	

It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant C. E. McClaughl personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno State of California, on the 10th day of Dec. 1902 and the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said C. E. McClaughan defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 60.00 principal, $ 50/100 interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ ..... Total Judgement $ .....

S. A. Johns
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this JAN 3 1903

No. 2745.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. B. Parker, Plaintiff

vs.

C. O. Ross, Defendant

Action upon a/c stated

Demand $ 26.90

S. L. Carter, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902,	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec.	11	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
1903			
Jan	24	Now comes plaintiff by his agent A. J. Fowler and upon his motion it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed.	
		G. W. Smith, Justice of the Peace.	
		Dismissed	

No. 2746.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank Toscano, Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Cota, Defendant

Action for money had and received

Demand $60.00

Smith & Ostrander, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 11		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 13		Now comes F. G. Ostrander representing plaintiff and states that the demand and costs in the above entitled case has been paid and upon his motion it is ordered that the case be and the same is hereby dismissed	
		S. P. John, Justice of the Peace	
Dec 13		Summons filed.	
" "		Writ of attachment filed	

No. 2747

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. W. Mink, Plaintiff

vs.

G. Chamnesin, Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 6 75

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 16		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Summons returned & filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Dec. 16th 1902.	

Dismiss

No. 2748.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. W. Kennedy, Plaintiff
vs.
Southern Pacific Company (a corporation), Defendant

Action for damages

Demand $ 299.00

W. K. Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Cory, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.00 (3 Transcripts)	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec.	18.	Complaint filed and summons issued	
1903 Jan.	8	Defendant by his Atty. enters demurrer -	
Feb.	18	Defendant files answer.	
Mar.		Trial set for April 1-1903.	
Mar.	30	Defendant demands Jury.	
"	"	Venire for 20 men issued.	
April	1	Subpoena returned and filed.	

At time set all parties in Court. The following jurors were sworn to try the case. Sid Wall - J. M. Barkley - A. R. Comfort - Pat Monahan - Pat. Clasby - Geo. Kohler - P. La Blanc - W. B. Atwater - J. T. Geigler - Kenzie Elwood - S. C. Nettle - and J. R. Nettle.

J. W. Kenedy - R. C. Dorsey - and O. F. Wolf - were sworn and testified on the part of Plaintiff.

C. N. Cox - W. Wyatt - A. L. Thurman - P. O'Connor Charles Dryssen - R. E. Butler - J. Cegay - and G. H. Turner testify on the part of Defendant.

Case tried argued and submitted to the Jury - who find for the Plaintiff - to wit: "We the Jury find for the Plaintiff and place the damage at $299.00" Signed: A. R. Comfort Foreman.

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $299.00 principal. $ ..... interest, $ ..... Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $5.00 ..... Total Judgement $304.00

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this APR 3 1903

Justice Fees	$5.00
Constable "	$
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

April 17 Undertaking on Appeal filed. Make transcript.
APR 30 1903 Notice of Appeal filed.

No. 2749

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. Lauritzen and H. Ahrensberg partners under the firm name of H. Ahrensberg & Co. Plaintiffs

vs.

H. Eginimay Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 66.00

A. M. Lorew. Attorney for Plaintiff

F. Laning Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec.	18	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
"	"	Writ of attachment issued	
"	19	Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Dec 18th 1902	
"	23	Defendant by his attorney enters a general demurrer orally.	
1903. March	5	Demurrer over ruled, and case set for trial March 11 – 2 P.M. 1903	
"	11	At time set for trial A. M. Lorew Atty – for Plaintiff, be at Sacramento as a member of the Legislature, the case is postponed till his return.	
April	8	At time set for trial all parties in court. H. Ahrensberg, H. Eginimay, & L. Lauritzen are sworn & testify on the part of the plaintiff. H. Eginimay testifies on the part of the defendant, cause tried, argued and submitted. Taken under advisement.	
April	11	The Court this day gives Judgement for Plaintiff.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $66.00 principal. $ — interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 8.25 Total Judgement $ 74.25

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court this APR 11 1903

$1.50 Paid for transcript

April	11	Execution issued.
"	17	Execution returned & filed.
APR 27 1903		Notice of Appeal filed.
MAY 1 1903		Undertaking on Appeal filed.

Justice Fees	$5.75
Constable "	$2.50
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	8.25

No. 2750.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs. J. F. Cole, Plaintiff
vs.
H. B. Flowers, Defendant

Action upon an a/c.

Demand $ 31.82

J. F. Cole
J. Selma Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902.	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.75 " " $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 7.50 " " $

AUG 4 1903 Defendant pays in

Dec. 19. Complaint filed and summons issued
" " Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
" " Writ of attachment issued.
1903 Jan. 13 Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Jan. 13-1903.

Feb 17 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant H. B. Flowers personally, at and in the 3rd Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 13th day of January, 1903 and that said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said H. B. Flowers defendant be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for,

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 31.82 principal, $ ——— interest, $ ——— Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.00 Total Judgement $ 37.82

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this FEB 17 1903

Justice Fees	$ 4.75
Constable "	$
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$ 1.25
Witness Fees	$

April 1 Execution issued
April 2 Execution returned and filed partially satisfied to wit: in the sum of $30.32,
OCT 10 1903 Ex. issued.

1903
Oct. 10 Above judgment satisfied this Oct. 10th 1903.
OCT 10 1903
J. F. Cole
Atty for pltff

Received, $ 7.50
In full Payment
Above Judgment
J. F. Cole

No. 2751

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B. Dupouy Plaintiff

vs.

R. Menaud Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 110.00

W. D. Crichton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas Gallagher
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 23		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Dec. 23rd 1902.	
" 27		Defendant by his attorney enters a general demurrer orally, and also general denial,	

No. 2752.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Arokjinjian, Plaintiff
vs.
H. Equinian and Hagop Arokjinjian, Defendants

Action

Demand $

J. O. Traber and Briggs & Barbour Attorney for Plaintiff
Johnston & Jones Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 23		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
" 29		Defendants by their attorneys enter a general demurrer orally and say that the complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.	
1903 Jan. 10		Notice of hearing demurrer issued, hearing set for Jan 12-1903 at one o'clock P.M. By Briggs & Barbour Attys. for Plaintiff	
" 12		Notice returned and filed.	
" "		Postponed to Wednesday at 10 A.M. Jan. 14-1903. by request of Briggs and Barbour Attys for Plaintiff.	
" 14		Answer filed by defendants Attys. Johnston & Jones.	
" 20		Notice of trial filed	
" 23		Affidavit of H. Equinian filed.	
" "		Notice of motion by defendant for security for costs, and appointment of commission to take deposition of Plaintiff to be heard Jan. 27, 1903, filed by defendants Attys.	
" 27		Amended answer filed.	
Feb 28		Bond for costs filed.	
MAY 6 1903		On motion of Plaintiff trial set for 12 May 1903 at 10 A.M.	
May 12		On motion of Plaintiff trial postponed to May 18-1903. at 2 P.M.	
MAY 18 1903		On motion of Plaintiff this action is hereby dismissed	

G. W. Smith.
Justice of the Peace of the Third Township, County of Fresno.

Done in open court
this MAY 18 1903

No. 2753

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Arokjinjian Plaintiff
vs.
M. Arokjinjian and
Hagop Arokjinjian Defendants

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $150.00

J. O. Traber and
Briggs & Barbour Attorney for Plaintiff
Johnston & Jones
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902		Pltf. Pd. $2.00 " " $ PROCEEDINGS. Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec.	23	Complaint filed and summons issued
"	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
"	"	Writ of attachment issued
"	29	Defendants by their attorneys enter a general demurrer orally and say that the complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action
1903 Jan	10	Notice of hearing demurrer issued, hearing set for Jan. 12. 1903. at one o'clock P.M. By Briggs & Barbour Attys. for Plaintiff.
"	12	Notice returned and filed.
"	"	Postponed to Wednesday Jan. 14-1903 at ten o'clock, by request of Briggs and Barbour, Attys. for plaintiff.
"	14	Answer filed by defendants Attys. Johnston & Jones.
"	20	Notice of trial filed.
"	23	Affidavit of H. Arokjinjian filed.
"	"	Notice of motion by defendant for security for costs, and appointment of commission to take deposition of Plaintiff to be heard Jan. 27-1903. filed by defendants Atty.
"	27	Amended answer filed.
Feb	28	Bond for costs filed.
MAY 6 1903		On motion of Plaintiff trial set for 12. May 1903 at 2. P.M.
May	12	On motion of Plaintiff trial set for May 18-1903. at 10 A.M.
MAY 18 1903		On motion of Plaintiff this action is hereby dismissed. G. W. Smith.

Done in open court this MAY 18 1903

Justice of the Peace of the Third Township, County of Fresno.

JAN. 15. 1904 ~~Writ returned, returned and filed.~~

No. 2754.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. M. Betteridge, Plaintiff

vs.

David Starkey and Kate Starkey, Defendants

Action on an a/c

Demand $ 40.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 1.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 24		Complaint filed.	

No. 2755

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles Downing, Plaintiff

vs.

M. Jacobi and J. Siraganian, Defendant

Action upon Promissory Note

Demand $ 35.50 & Int. & Atty fee

H. H. Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank Kauke
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 4.15 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
		Answer 2.75	
Dec. 24		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	
1903 Jan. 12		Summons returned and filed, showing personal servis upon defendants at this Township on Jan. 12-1903.	
" 14		Demurrer filed by defendants Atty. Frank Kauke.	
Feb. 16		On motion Plaintiffs Atty. this case is dismissed	

C. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Less mosent

No. 2756.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Maggie Hatch, Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Pero, Defendant

Action upon an a/c for labor

Demand $ 15.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $5.00 Due Sty 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 9.50 " " $
Dec. 1903 29		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Jan 3		Summons returned and filed showing personal service upon defendant at this Township on Jany 3rd 1903.	
" 5		Defendant appears personly and enters general denial orally.	
"		Upon motion of defendant trial set for Jan. 15 at 10 A.M. 1903	
" 15		Both parties in court, Trial continued to 2 P.M. Jan. 16 - 1903.	
" 16		Both parties in Court, Trial proceeds. Maggie Hatch sworn, and testifies for Plaintiff.	
		Henry Johnson, Henry C. Kline, and Henry Pero, are sworn, and testify for Defendant.	
		The matter is submitted, and taken under advisement.	
" 22		The Court finds for Plaintiff this day and costs.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 3.00 principal, $ — interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.50 Total Judgement $ 9.50

G. W. Smith

Justice of the Peace.

Received, $3.00
In full Payment
Above demands.
Frank Bostwick
Aubrey Barney

Justice Fees	$ 5.00
Constable " Recd	$ 1.50
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$

J. W. Dunn

Done in open court
this JAN 22 1903

No. 2757

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The German Savings and Loan Society, Plaintiff

vs.

William D. Read and Clara E. Read, Defendant

Action upon Judgment

Demand $ 220.75 & Int

Goodfellow & Eells
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec. 30		Complaint filed and summons issued.	

No. 2758.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Silver Creek Petroleum Company, a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

Ohio Oil Company a corporation — Defendant

Action upon an O/C

Demand $ 231.00

W. B. Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Truman and Oliver — Attorney for Defendant

Jan. 28-1904 By Granting

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $ 3.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ 12.00 " " $
Dec. 1903	30	Complaint filed and summons issued	
Feb.	26	Defendant by his Atty - files Demurrer.	
June	24	Defendant by his Attorney files answer.	
Aug.	4	Defendant files answer.	
DEC. 14. 1903		Defendant files Deposition.	
" 21 "		Trial set for Dec. 30 - 1903 - at 10 A.m.	
" 30 "		At the time set for trial all parties in court, and the trial proceeds.	
		All witnesses sworn.	
		M. Maysen - B. R. Parker - and A. P. Shepherd testify on the part of Plaintiff.	
		Defendant submits depositions.	
JAN 6 1904		The court this day finds for Plaintiff.	

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant.. the sum of $ 231.00 principal. $ — interest, $ — Attorney's fee together with costs taxed herein at $ 13.20 Total Judgement $ 244.20

Done in open court this JAN 6 - 1904

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Jan.	7	Mem. of costs filed.
"	"	Subpoena returned and filed
~~JAN. 7 1904~~		Ex issued.
JAN. 15. 1904		Writ returned & filed.
FEB. 2 - 1904		Ex. returned and filed.

Justice Fees ..... $ 5.00
Constable " ..... $
Sheriff's " ..... $
Serving Summons ..... $
Witness Fees ..... $

FEB. 5 - 1904

Received, $ 12.00
In full Payment
Above amt. paid into Court up to date
Silver Creek Pet. Co. by E. M. Harris Secy

No. 2759.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. R. Fanning, Plaintiff

vs.

L. E. Walker and Mrs. L. E. Walker, Defendants

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 42.35

F. R. Fanning, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Fullerton

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ " " $ 2.00	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $

Dec. 31 1903 Complaint filed and summons issued.

Feb. 24 Summons returned and filed showing personal service on L. E. Walker at this Township on 21st day of Feb. 1903 and on Mrs. L. E. Walker at this Tow wnship, on 24 day of Feb. 1903.

" 28 It appearing to the Court from the return upon the summons, that a true copy thereof together with a copy of the complaint was served upon the defendant L. E. Walker and Mrs. L. E. Walker personally, at and in the 3d Township, County of Fresno, State of California, on the 21 day of Feb. 1903 and that said defendants have not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor towit: 5 days has fully expired, now upon motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of said L. E. Walker, and Mrs. L. E. Walker defendants be and the same is hereby entered. Upon further motion said plaintiff it is ordered that judgement be entered herein as prayed for. Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of $ 42.35 principal. $ 2.90 interest, $ — Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 6.00 Total Judgement $ 51.30

42.35
17.50
24.85

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this FEB 28 1903

Received, $ 17.50
In part Payment
Above case
F. R. Fanning MAR 14 1903

Justice Fees	$ 4.00
Constable "	$ 2.00
Sheriff's "	$
Serving Summons	$
Witness Fees	$
	6.00

Execution issued.

Feb 28 Affidavit and order for Examination of Bailee or Levelton en.

" " Affidavit and order for Ex. Ex. returned and filed.

Mar 14 Execution returned and filed.

JUN. 10. 1904 Ex. issued.

AUG. 2 - 1904 Abstract issued.

No. 2760.

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ed. Hertweck
Plaintiff

vs.

Fresno Placer Mining Co.
Defendant

Action upon an o/c

Demand $ 14.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Swow & Tuman
Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1902	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Dec 31		Complaint filed and summons issued	
Jan 3		Defendant by its attorneys enter a general demurrer orally.	
" "		Summons filed served	
" 28		Plaintiff by his Atty's. files Demurrer.	

No. 2761

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph J. Mitchell, Plaintiff
vs.
Conrad Frisch, Defendant

Action: Claim & Delivery of Personal Property
Demand $: Val. of Property $50.00 + $25.00 damages
E. S. VanMeter, Attorney for Plaintiff
E. A. Williams, Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1903	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan	2	Complaint filed and summons issued	
"	6	Defendent files Demurrer	
"	7	Upon motion of plaintiff by his Atty - E. S. VanMeter, it is ordered that the above entitled case be and the same is hereby dismissed	

G. W. Smith
Justice of the Peace.

JAN 7 1903
Received, $ 8.00
In full Payment
Above costs
E S VanMeter
Atty for Plff

No. 2762. 345

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. Graff & Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Ben Lopozich & Slavich
Partners doing business under firm name of Slavich & Lopozich
Defendant

Action upon an a/c

Demand $ 118.95

H. H. Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1903	Pltf. Pd. $ 2.00 " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $ " " $
Jan 3		Complaint filed and summons issued	
" "		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	
" "		Writ of attachment issued	

No. .....

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.... *Plaintiff* *vs.* .... *Defendant*	*Action* .... *Demand $* .... *Attorney for Plaintiff* ..... *Attorney for Defendant*

DATE	*Pltf. Pd. $* ..... " " $	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd. $* ..... " " $ .....
1			

BLANK PAGES
SKIPPED

No. .....

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.... *Plaintiff* *vs.* .... *Defendant*	*Action* .... *Demand $* .... *Attorney for Plaintiff* ..... *Attorney for Defendant*

DATE		PROCEEDINGS.	
	Pltf. Pd. $ ..... " " $		*Deft. Pd. $* ..... " " $ .....
1			

No......

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.....

.....

Plaintiff

vs.

.....

.....

Defendant

Action.....

.....

Demand $.....

.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

.....

Attorney for Defendant

DATE	*Pltf. Pd. $*.....	PROCEEDINGS.	*Deft. Pd. $*.....
1.....	" " *$*.....		" " *$*.....

No. .....

In the Justices' Court of the Third Township, County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

.... Plaintiff vs. .... Defendant	Action .... Demand $ .... Attorney for Plaintiff ..... Attorney for Defendant

DATE	Pltf. Pd. $	PROCEEDINGS.	Deft. Pd. $
1	" " $		" " $ .....

Turn collected for St. John

Jan 25 Hatch vs Pero No 2756 - 2.00 - 1/24 Rd to St John
Feb 7 Holland vs Hopkins 2-00-2/8 Rd to St John
" 19 Lewing vs Jacobi 2.75 Rd to St John